```
WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by event.video
00:00:00.500 --> 00:00:03.600
Okay, thank you. It's quarter for so
00:00:03.600 --> 00:00:07.200
the hearing is resumed. Just been
00:00:07.200 --> 00:00:10.000
looking at the timetable. I think
00:00:10.100 --> 00:00:14.800
we are making good progress. However, we have two
00:00:13.800 --> 00:00:17.600
items left substantively on
00:00:17.600 --> 00:00:21.100
the agenda. The first one which will get on
00:00:20.100 --> 00:00:24.400
with just now is landscape and visual impact.
00:00:27.300 --> 00:00:29.400
And that I think will take us.
00:00:31.300 --> 00:00:36.200
Probably to have passed four or so, maybe a
00:00:35.200 --> 00:00:36.700
little bit longer.
10
00:00:39.600 --> 00:00:43.000
That leaves another item, which I don't think it
11
00:00:42.300 --> 00:00:45.200
will be sensible to
00:00:45.200 --> 00:00:48.600
embark on this afternoon. And that's
```

```
00:00:48.600 --> 00:00:51.800
to say item five in combination impacts
14
00:00:51.800 --> 00:00:54.800
and effects. We wouldn't
15
00:00:54.800 --> 00:01:00.100
like to start that item and then overrun past
16
00:00:58.100 --> 00:01:02.500
five o'clock really. So
17
00:01:01.500 --> 00:01:05.000
with that in mind, what we'll
18
00:01:04.200 --> 00:01:07.700
say is that we'll crack on with item four
19
00:01:07.700 --> 00:01:10.900
which is landscape and visual impacts. We
20
00:01:10.900 --> 00:01:12.800
will endeavor to finish that item
21
00:01:13.400 --> 00:01:16.800
And then we will review the position about
22
00:01:16.800 --> 00:01:20.000
item five in combination impacts
23
00:01:19.300 --> 00:01:22.400
 and effects and we will
24
00:01:22.400 --> 00:01:25.500
see whether or not it's expedient to resume.
00:01:25.500 --> 00:01:30.200
Well actually to hold
26
00:01:28.200 --> 00:01:31.400
```

1.3

```
another hearing where
27
00:01:31.400 --> 00:01:35.300
that subject can be discussed and
28
00:01:34.300 --> 00:01:37.600
or deal with
29
00:01:37.600 --> 00:01:40.400
it by way of a written process
30
00:01:40.400 --> 00:01:44.200
through issuing further written questions that does
31
00:01:43.200 --> 00:01:47.200
not preclude anybody here who
32
00:01:46.200 --> 00:01:49.900
has prepared or wishes to prepare submissions
33
00:01:49.900 --> 00:01:52.900
on that item in accordance
34
00:01:52.900 --> 00:01:55.300
with the usual deadline that's been set down for
00:01:55.300 --> 00:01:58.500
for this hearing. That's to
36
00:01:58.500 --> 00:02:01.600
say if you wish to make any submissions on that site and by
37
00:02:01.600 --> 00:02:04.400
deadline for Friday 16th of
00:02:04.400 --> 00:02:07.500
December, we will obviously take those into
00:02:07.500 --> 00:02:08.000
account.
```

```
40
00:02:11.100 --> 00:02:14.800
Okay, if that's alright with everyone then
41
00:02:14.800 --> 00:02:17.600
we will seed with item
00:02:17.600 --> 00:02:18.800
four. Thank you Mrs. Taylor.
43
00:02:20.200 --> 00:02:23.600
Thank you, Mr. King. So moving on to landscape and
44
00:02:23.600 --> 00:02:27.100
visual impact and item a
45
00:02:28.100 --> 00:02:30.100
General points and methodology
46
00:02:32.100 --> 00:02:34.200
I don't have a lot on this but I
47
00:02:35.700 --> 00:02:38.800
would like the applicant to confirm please that
48
00:02:38.800 --> 00:02:41.200
the viewpoints information that was
49
00:02:41.200 --> 00:02:44.600
presented in esch chapter 10 a
50
00:02:44.600 --> 00:02:45.500
рр-
51
00:02:46.200 --> 00:02:48.700
042 has now been corrected.
52
00:02:50.700 --> 00:02:53.600
For example, the emission of some viewpoints from the
53
00:02:53.600 --> 00:02:56.300
```

```
maps as detailed in the local impact report
54
00:02:56.300 --> 00:02:57.900
page 138.
55
00:02:59.400 --> 00:03:02.500
That bridge attorney for the applicant. I'm going to introduce and
David to
56
00:03:02.500 --> 00:03:05.500
Mr. John Rooney who's sitting
57
00:03:05.500 --> 00:03:08.400
just there who's going to deal with let's get visual
58
00:03:08.400 --> 00:03:10.600
matters. And Mr. Rooney can hopefully answer that question.
59
00:03:12.400 --> 00:03:15.700
Good afternoon joining if the applicant yes can confirm
60
00:03:15.700 --> 00:03:17.100
labor submitted the deadline to.
00:03:17.800 --> 00:03:18.200
Thank you.
62
00:03:19.800 --> 00:03:22.600
And just turning to the local authorities quickly on
63
00:03:22.600 --> 00:03:26.200
that point and I know it was raised in the lir
64
00:03:25.200 --> 00:03:29.500
are the local authorities happy now with the presented information
65
00:03:29.500 --> 00:03:31.300
in relation to viewpoints.
66
00:03:33.300 --> 00:03:33.600
Yes.
```

```
00:03:34.700 --> 00:03:35.200
excellent
68
00:03:36.100 --> 00:03:36.400
Thank you.
69
00:03:37.900 --> 00:03:40.700
So to the local authorities now in the
70
00:03:40.700 --> 00:03:44.500
local impact report on page 136 you
71
00:03:43.500 --> 00:03:46.200
 state that the council's disagree with
72
00:03:46.200 --> 00:03:49.200
the methodology adopted by the applicant to
73
00:03:49.200 --> 00:03:52.300
interpret the guidelines for landscape and
74
00:03:52.300 --> 00:03:55.900
visual impact assessment. Glbia Third Edition
75
00:03:55.900 --> 00:03:58.300
2013, and I wondered if
76
00:03:58.300 --> 00:03:58.500
you could
77
00:03:59.100 --> 00:04:03.300
Extend on this a little please and it's explain why you
78
00:04:03.300 --> 00:04:04.100
disagree with that?
79
00:04:12.600 --> 00:04:13.200
accounts, I'm
```

```
00:04:14.100 --> 00:04:18.200
Going to everything you don't need you've met this morning
officially. She
81
00:04:17.200 --> 00:04:21.200
says to my left and she represents
82
00:04:20.200 --> 00:04:23.700
a great deal of views on behalf of our side
83
00:04:23.700 --> 00:04:25.000
as well as suffolks.
84
00:04:25.500 --> 00:04:27.100
But I'll hand up to.
00:04:27.800 --> 00:04:30.900
Thank you. Good afternoon excited.
86
00:04:31.800 --> 00:04:34.700
and there are a number of issues that
87
00:04:34.700 --> 00:04:38.100
we disagreed with methodology and I'm
88
00:04:37.100 --> 00:04:38.400
happy to
89
00:04:40.300 --> 00:04:43.300
explored a bit further, but I would like to say rather than
beginning
90
00:04:43.300 --> 00:04:46.100
that we you know, I think
91
00:04:46.100 --> 00:04:49.600
we sort of have was agreed to disagree on methodology
92
00:04:49.600 --> 00:04:50.100
issues and
```

```
00:04:51.500 --> 00:04:54.100
The councils are more of the international to move on
94
00:04:54.100 --> 00:04:57.100
from that because we have you know, we have looked at
95
00:04:57.100 --> 00:05:00.400
the scheme and we have made our own assessments of it.
96
00:05:00.400 --> 00:05:04.100
And you know, we can keep discussing methodology
97
00:05:03.100 --> 00:05:06.200
issues for a very long time and it
98
00:05:06.200 --> 00:05:09.200
won't lead anywhere. I just think
99
00:05:10.300 --> 00:05:13.300
some of the points that that was already touched upon in
100
00:05:13.300 --> 00:05:16.700
the Heritage assessment is the the classification
101
00:05:16.700 --> 00:05:20.000
scale of having a very
102
00:05:19.300 --> 00:05:22.700
low included but not very high which I
103
00:05:22.700 --> 00:05:26.400
think skews issue a little bit. Another area
104
00:05:25.400 --> 00:05:29.400
was the susceptibility and
105
00:05:28.400 --> 00:05:31.500
then and how that relates to value
106
00:05:31.500 --> 00:05:35.200
 and then how that was combined to
```

```
107
00:05:34.200 --> 00:05:38.500
create the sensitivity to the scheme another.
108
00:05:39.600 --> 00:05:42.600
Issues that we had was the visual
109
00:05:42.600 --> 00:05:45.600
Baseline how that was carried out and how it was
110
00:05:45.600 --> 00:05:48.500
presented which you know had some
111
00:05:48.500 --> 00:05:51.400
baseline descriptions in it, but intermingle with
112
00:05:51.400 --> 00:05:52.800
that were elements of assessment.
113
00:05:54.900 --> 00:05:56.800
So instead of just sort of
00:05:59.300 --> 00:06:02.800
issues like that that weren't particularly helpful, but I
115
00:06:02.800 --> 00:06:05.300
think it is not. You know, I won't
116
00:06:05.300 --> 00:06:08.100
need anywhere to discuss that in great detail at this
117
00:06:08.100 --> 00:06:08.200
point.
118
00:06:11.700 --> 00:06:14.800
Madame clinical I just say Michael Bedford
119
00:06:14.800 --> 00:06:15.100
almost.
```

```
00:06:16.600 --> 00:06:19.100
Suffolk County Council, you will have
121
00:06:19.100 --> 00:06:23.000
seen that we've set out in and it is paradox 10.78
122
00:06:22.400 --> 00:06:26.700
to 10.82 specifically
123
00:06:25.700 --> 00:06:29.900
deal with methodology matters
124
00:06:28.900 --> 00:06:31.700
in the local impact
125
00:06:31.700 --> 00:06:35.100
Rapport. We note that
126
00:06:34.100 --> 00:06:38.900
the applicant in appendix L
00:06:38.900 --> 00:06:41.200
to its responses to
128
00:06:41.200 --> 00:06:44.300
 your first written questions. I think the reference document is
129
00:06:44.300 --> 00:06:46.900
rep to dash over 38.
130
00:06:47.700 --> 00:06:50.400
They have provided a further
131
00:06:50.400 --> 00:06:53.800
commentary on their methodology. But
132
00:06:53.800 --> 00:06:56.100
if I have summarize it to say that
133
00:06:56.100 --> 00:06:59.500
effectively they say what they've done originally was
```

```
00:06:59.500 --> 00:07:04.000
correct and they don't see any reason to change their position.
What's
135
00:07:02.200 --> 00:07:06.100
your left with effectively is
136
00:07:05.100 --> 00:07:08.700
 a divergences between
137
00:07:08.700 --> 00:07:12.000
professional Landscape Architects
138
00:07:11.400 --> 00:07:14.500
on how long goes about raising things
00:07:14.500 --> 00:07:18.100
that's fascinating. No doubt in the
140
00:07:17.100 --> 00:07:21.000
abstract sense, but it's more I think as Miss
141
00:07:20.300 --> 00:07:23.200
cutting was just saying since we
142
00:07:23.200 --> 00:07:26.100
recognize now that we are where we are you will have
143
00:07:26.100 --> 00:07:30.200
to form of you on those methodological issues
144
00:07:29.200 --> 00:07:32.500
and that may lead you one way or the other in terms
145
00:07:32.500 --> 00:07:36.700
of evaluating degrees of impact, but
146
00:07:38.300 --> 00:07:41.300
Essentially the way we say it and it's
```

```
00:07:41.300 --> 00:07:44.300
it's slightly alive to the point that
148
00:07:44.300 --> 00:07:48.500
we've also made in the lir as
149
00:07:47.500 --> 00:07:50.900
to the site selection process and
150
00:07:50.900 --> 00:07:54.300
it's slightly different to the methodology point but
151
00:07:53.300 --> 00:07:56.500
we've also raised concerns there
152
00:07:56.500 --> 00:07:59.400
that at stage
153
00:07:59.400 --> 00:08:02.700
is one and two of the site that selection
154
00:08:02.700 --> 00:08:05.200
process landscape and visual
155
00:08:05.200 --> 00:08:08.300
criteria. We're not at that stage
156
00:08:08.300 --> 00:08:11.100
included as a constraint and less it was
157
00:08:11.100 --> 00:08:14.100
an AO and b or a national park clearly
158
00:08:15.600 --> 00:08:18.500
They don't bite here which leads in
159
00:08:18.500 --> 00:08:21.400
the site assessment process to what
160
00:08:21.400 --> 00:08:25.000
```

```
we consider to be an inappropriate
161
00:08:24.700 --> 00:08:27.600
 selection, and we just brought your
162
00:08:27.600 --> 00:08:31.200
attention if you compare figure 5 in
163
00:08:30.200 --> 00:08:33.600
арр -
164
00:08:33.600 --> 00:08:36.500
054 that's appendix
165
00:08:36.500 --> 00:08:39.100
for a to the environmental statement.
166
00:08:40.300 --> 00:08:43.600
If you compare that figure five with figure 7.
167
00:08:44.700 --> 00:08:46.600
in the same rapport
168
00:08:48.300 --> 00:08:51.000
one shows effectively the unconstrained areas
169
00:08:52.200 --> 00:08:55.200
Following the applicants approach the other
170
00:08:55.200 --> 00:08:58.700
figure then shows the sites which have been selected for this
00:08:58.700 --> 00:08:59.300
application.
172
00:09:00.400 --> 00:09:03.300
And we consider there's a significant mismatch as it
173
00:09:03.300 --> 00:09:06.500
were between the unconstrued areas and areas chosen.
```

```
00:09:07.300 --> 00:09:10.100
Up against a similar point we are where we
175
00:09:10.100 --> 00:09:10.200
are.
176
00:09:11.900 --> 00:09:14.000
And so what we're really suggesting to you.
177
00:09:15.100 --> 00:09:18.900
Is that the shortcomings in that earlier work?
178
00:09:20.900 --> 00:09:22.900
effectively lead us to the conclusion
179
00:09:24.100 --> 00:09:27.000
that the optimum site or sites.
180
00:09:27.800 --> 00:09:29.300
Have not been selected.
181
00:09:30.500 --> 00:09:33.900
So as to minimize impacts on landscape and
182
00:09:33.900 --> 00:09:35.100
visual amenity.
183
00:09:36.600 --> 00:09:37.700
and consequently
184
00:09:39.300 --> 00:09:40.800
there is a heightened need.
185
00:09:42.200 --> 00:09:44.800
For adequate mitigation now.
186
00:09:46.700 --> 00:09:49.200
To mitigate impacts which in a sense
187
00:09:49.200 --> 00:09:52.700
```

```
might have been avoided had different choices been made as early
188
00:09:52.700 --> 00:09:53.200
as stages.
189
00:09:54.300 --> 00:09:57.200
So it's more context point I think given that
190
00:09:57.200 --> 00:10:00.600
we're now in an examination of an actual application. And so
191
00:10:00.600 --> 00:10:03.300
we just inviting you to take that into account when you're
192
00:10:03.300 --> 00:10:07.100
then appraising the adequacy of the mitigation. So
193
00:10:06.100 --> 00:10:09.300
I hope that helps provide a general
194
00:10:09.300 --> 00:10:13.200
 comment rather than getting to sucked down into methodological
disagreements
195
00:10:12.200 --> 00:10:15.500
between professional landscape of things.
196
00:10:15.500 --> 00:10:17.600
Yes. Thank you very much. It's helpful, Mr. Bedford.
197
00:10:18.900 --> 00:10:20.100
Mr. Cazelko
198
00:10:21.400 --> 00:10:24.300
Thank you. Madam, Mr. Jeffcock. Who is
199
00:10:24.300 --> 00:10:26.300
our landscape expert? It's going to speak Spanish.
200
00:10:28.200 --> 00:10:31.500
John Jeff hook say no to Seneca. I
```

```
201
00:10:31.500 --> 00:10:35.000
would just like to Echo the comments made by
202
00:10:34.300 --> 00:10:37.800
Mr. Bedford regarding the site selection
203
00:10:37.800 --> 00:10:40.800
process and the floors that were
204
00:10:40.800 --> 00:10:43.200
contained in it particularly with regards to the absence of
205
00:10:43.200 --> 00:10:46.400
 landscape and visual criteria and the
206
00:10:46.400 --> 00:10:49.600
knock on that that has had throughout the then
207
00:10:49.600 --> 00:10:52.300
site selection and the issues with the ability to
208
00:10:52.300 --> 00:10:54.500
mitigate some of the effects that have been identified.
209
00:10:55.800 --> 00:10:58.400
And I would also add to what Mr. Bedford
210
00:10:58.400 --> 00:11:00.700
said he was referring you to two plans.
211
00:11:01.400 --> 00:11:05.000
And asking you to make a comparison between them. We've actually
212
00:11:04.800 --> 00:11:07.400
we've created one for you. So hopefully
213
00:11:07.400 --> 00:11:09.900
that will assist you and it's figure 1.1.
```

```
00:11:11.400 --> 00:11:14.800
And that is attached to my report which is annexed a
215
00:11:14.800 --> 00:11:16.700
to the deadline to submission.
216
00:11:18.800 --> 00:11:20.000
I say no to Seneca.
217
00:11:21.500 --> 00:11:24.300
You'll see clearly from that. I'm not sure whether it's in front
218
00:11:24.300 --> 00:11:27.800
of you. I can give you a hard copy that's easier. I
219
00:11:27.800 --> 00:11:30.200
can look it up, but you'll see
220
00:11:30.200 --> 00:11:33.500
when you do come to it. It's very very obvious. Very striking.
00:11:34.600 --> 00:11:38.000
The majority of the order limits sit outside of
222
00:11:37.200 --> 00:11:40.600
the unconstrained land and particularly
223
00:11:40.600 --> 00:11:43.300
to the areas that I'm sure will come
224
00:11:43.300 --> 00:11:44.500
to later on in this session.
225
00:11:46.300 --> 00:11:49.500
Sonic East Side a and Sonic West Side
226
00:11:49.500 --> 00:11:52.400
 a they're the two main areas that
227
00:11:52.400 --> 00:11:54.000
 sit outside of that unconstrained land.
```

```
228
00:11:56.900 --> 00:11:59.700
So moving on from the site selection process if I
229
00:11:59.700 --> 00:12:00.000
may.
230
00:12:00.700 --> 00:12:03.200
The only at the point that I wanted to
231
00:12:03.200 --> 00:12:06.000
make really with regards to the methodology.
232
00:12:07.500 --> 00:12:10.400
Well firstly that chapter 11
233
00:12:10.400 --> 00:12:13.200
in our report goes through all of the specific points that
234
00:12:13.200 --> 00:12:15.100
we flagged as as issues.
00:12:16.300 --> 00:12:17.900
I'm not going to go through all of those today.
236
00:12:19.100 --> 00:12:19.500
but I think
237
00:12:20.700 --> 00:12:24.400
that there are two main issues.
238
00:12:25.200 --> 00:12:27.900
with regards to with regards to
239
00:12:30.800 --> 00:12:33.400
the method applied in the landscape
240
00:12:33.400 --> 00:12:36.100
and visual impact assessment like the first one.
```

```
00:12:37.400 --> 00:12:40.100
that's worth noting today really is in relation to
242
00:12:40.100 --> 00:12:41.500
the application of
243
00:12:42.300 --> 00:12:42.500
of
244
00:12:44.700 --> 00:12:47.200
best practice factors for
245
00:12:47.200 --> 00:12:50.700
reviewing landscape value or for determining landscape value
246
00:12:51.400 --> 00:12:54.400
So the method in the landscape and visual impact assessment
247
00:12:54.400 --> 00:12:57.400
does refer to the relevant guidance refers to
00:12:57.400 --> 00:13:01.100
glivier 3. It refers to the latest technical guidance
249
00:13:00.100 --> 00:13:03.200
note 0 to 21 by the
250
00:13:03.200 --> 00:13:04.200
landscape Institute.
251
00:13:05.100 --> 00:13:08.400
But the factors that are listed in those guidance documents.
252
00:13:09.500 --> 00:13:13.000
For what an assessor should consider any like
253
00:13:12.200 --> 00:13:14.100
any any given landscape against?
254
00:13:15.100 --> 00:13:18.700
It only considers a couple of them. So it emits really key factors.
```

```
255
00:13:20.500 --> 00:13:23.300
That coming to play into different parts of the landscape and one
256
00:13:23.300 --> 00:13:26.200
of those one of those Landscapes is the landscape around the
257
00:13:26.200 --> 00:13:26.900
line Kilns.
258
00:13:27.400 --> 00:13:30.900
So when you come to the assessment of the lion kills in the lvia.
259
00:13:31.700 --> 00:13:35.800
You you have no consideration for Rarity factors,
260
00:13:35.800 --> 00:13:38.800
for example, or cultural landscape factors. So
261
00:13:38.800 --> 00:13:42.700
these are factors that whole particularly high value and
00:13:41.700 --> 00:13:44.100
the consequence of that
263
00:13:44.100 --> 00:13:47.600
 I suppose really is that if you've admitted them in your Baseline
section,
264
00:13:47.600 --> 00:13:50.400
you obviously don't then take them into account. When you come into
265
00:13:50.400 --> 00:13:53.600
assess them. You don't reach any conclusion or Draw any conclusions
266
00:13:53.600 --> 00:13:55.900
to what the impact on those factors would be
00:13:56.900 --> 00:13:57.300
and I think
```

```
00:13:59.200 --> 00:14:02.800
As a as a general conclusion on that you see as
269
00:14:02.800 --> 00:14:06.000
a result, but in the lvia you
270
00:14:05.300 --> 00:14:08.400
end up with this underestimation of the overall effect.
271
00:14:08.400 --> 00:14:11.700
So the overall effect on the lime comes
272
00:14:11.700 --> 00:14:15.000
in the lbia is determined to be minor adverse and
273
00:14:14.500 --> 00:14:17.100
I would say that any proper understanding of
274
00:14:17.100 --> 00:14:20.500
that landscape and its context just cannot be
275
00:14:20.500 --> 00:14:20.500
true.
276
00:14:21.400 --> 00:14:22.900
And we say it's major adverse.
277
00:14:25.500 --> 00:14:30.100
The second point that I alluded to is, I mean,
278
00:14:29.100 --> 00:14:32.900
it's slightly nuanced between two two points really
279
00:14:32.900 --> 00:14:35.600
but I suppose the headline is is the
280
00:14:35.600 --> 00:14:36.800
lack of a winter assessment.
281
00:14:37.500 --> 00:14:38.400
```

```
So in the latest
282
00:14:40.400 --> 00:14:42.800
the latest clarification on the lvia
283
00:14:43.500 --> 00:14:46.800
methodology, so this is in appendix
284
00:14:46.800 --> 00:14:47.000
1
285
00:14:47.900 --> 00:14:51.600
to the applicant's response to your first
286
00:14:50.600 --> 00:14:52.500
set of questions.
287
00:14:53.700 --> 00:14:56.700
They say a paragraph two point two
288
00:14:56.700 --> 00:14:57.900
point two seven.
289
00:14:59.900 --> 00:15:02.400
And then qualify it but essentially that
290
00:15:02.400 --> 00:15:06.200
a year 15, there is no winter assessment the logic
291
00:15:05.200 --> 00:15:08.300
being in the view of the alveia that you
292
00:15:08.300 --> 00:15:11.500
have the winter assessment year one and then
293
00:15:11.500 --> 00:15:14.600
the assessment year 15 is really determining how successful the
294
00:15:14.600 --> 00:15:16.400
mitigation planting is in the summer.
```

```
295
00:15:17.300 --> 00:15:20.100
I mean the obvious question is or the obvious points it to me
296
00:15:20.100 --> 00:15:23.400
is what we also want to know how successful that mitigation
planting is
297
00:15:23.400 --> 00:15:25.900
in the winter. Particularly given Where We Are
298
00:15:26.700 --> 00:15:29.400
In terms of our attitude in this country in the length of winter in
299
00:15:29.400 --> 00:15:29.900
this country.
300
00:15:30.600 --> 00:15:31.700
It's a very important point.
301
00:15:32.900 --> 00:15:35.600
and I would say that that also plays through
302
00:15:35.600 --> 00:15:38.800
into this to this other interrelated point
303
00:15:38.800 --> 00:15:41.100
which is around the photo montages and the fact that
304
00:15:41.100 --> 00:15:43.700
we don't have any Photon montages that show
305
00:15:44.400 --> 00:15:46.200
the mitigation planting in the winter
306
00:15:47.300 --> 00:15:50.500
Even when the Baseline photographs were taken
307
00:15:50.500 --> 00:15:53.500
in the winter to have this very odd situation in some
```

```
00:15:53.500 --> 00:15:56.700
viewpoints where you have all of the trees and
309
00:15:56.700 --> 00:15:59.300
surrounding foliage clearly not in leaf,
310
00:15:59.300 --> 00:16:02.700
but then racquetly the mitigation planting
311
00:16:02.700 --> 00:16:03.900
is in full leaf.
312
00:16:04.500 --> 00:16:08.200
Very happy to give you references to some examples if you want, but
the
313
00:16:07.200 --> 00:16:10.100
obvious ones are the views along back road.
314
00:16:11.200 --> 00:16:15.500
And possibly also the view from u606 the
315
00:16:15.500 --> 00:16:17.400
bridal Way South of Worthington.
316
00:16:20.200 --> 00:16:23.700
Thank you very much. Yes, Steve. The winter issue is
317
00:16:23.700 --> 00:16:26.600
something that I'm aware alerts to.
318
00:16:27.900 --> 00:16:30.100
May I may I just make one final
319
00:16:30.100 --> 00:16:33.500
point? I suspect this is probably going to the most logical place
to make it
320
00:16:33.500 --> 00:16:36.400
and it's it's to do
```

```
00:16:36.400 --> 00:16:40.000
with the way that those photo montages have been presented as well.
322
00:16:39.200 --> 00:16:42.400
obviously just referred you to the viewpoint
323
00:16:42.400 --> 00:16:45.500
on you 606 South of Worthington. So
324
00:16:45.500 --> 00:16:48.300
the foot path that we walked brightly I
325
00:16:48.300 --> 00:16:51.900
should say we walked during the second company site
326
00:16:51.900 --> 00:16:54.700
inspection. And if you recall on
327
00:16:54.700 --> 00:16:57.100
I put I flagged with
328
00:16:57.100 --> 00:17:00.200
the applicants. Yeah the scale of the photon charges. So for the
329
00:17:00.200 --> 00:17:03.600
benefit of everyone here is really an obvious disparity.
330
00:17:04.700 --> 00:17:07.200
Between the scale of
331
00:17:07.200 --> 00:17:11.300
 the landscape in the depiction of elements within the landscape
including those
332
00:17:10.300 --> 00:17:13.900
that are proposed as part of this development
333
00:17:13.900 --> 00:17:16.800
the disparity of those in
```

```
00:17:16.800 --> 00:17:19.700
the visual material once printed at scale with
335
00:17:19.700 --> 00:17:22.700
what you could see in front of you and I know that the applicant
336
00:17:22.700 --> 00:17:25.400
acknowledge that issue and they have replied to
337
00:17:25.400 --> 00:17:28.000
it. They've replied in their response to
338
00:17:28.500 --> 00:17:30.500
rep to two four zero.
339
00:17:31.500 --> 00:17:35.500
Page one five seven. So this is the application document
340
00:17:34.500 --> 00:17:36.500
8.8.
341
00:17:37.500 --> 00:17:40.600
They say they have checked that issue unless they stand by that
342
00:17:40.600 --> 00:17:43.900
is fine that there is no issue essentially, but
343
00:17:43.900 --> 00:17:46.800
I I do not accept that given the
344
00:17:46.800 --> 00:17:49.300
disparity that we're seeing on site. I can't accept
345
00:17:49.300 --> 00:17:50.800
that can possibly be true.
346
00:17:53.500 --> 00:17:56.000
So I would I would implore that issue to be
347
00:17:56.100 --> 00:17:59.300
to be to be
```

```
348
00:17:59.300 --> 00:18:02.500
examined further and perhaps for you to also
349
00:18:02.500 --> 00:18:05.700
take out the print out at the corrects. I'm assuming
350
00:18:05.700 --> 00:18:08.500
you have a copy of the correct size of the Al size that
351
00:18:08.500 --> 00:18:11.700
you can take out with you. Sorry of the visualizations
352
00:18:11.700 --> 00:18:13.300
the photo montages. Yes.
353
00:18:14.000 --> 00:18:14.000
Yes.
354
00:18:15.000 --> 00:18:15.100
Thank you. Thank you.
00:18:22.500 --> 00:18:25.400
It's really to take up as many
356
00:18:25.400 --> 00:18:26.400
of those points. He's able to.
357
00:18:29.800 --> 00:18:32.500
in case you're ready for the applicant and I'll do
358
00:18:32.500 --> 00:18:35.900
with the question about landscape Value First the
359
00:18:37.600 --> 00:18:41.600
appendix 10c of the Landscaping machine accessment does
360
00:18:40.600 --> 00:18:43.300
set out the criteria that we've
```

```
00:18:43.300 --> 00:18:45.600
used to inform our assessment landscape value.
362
00:18:46.300 --> 00:18:49.100
That's been assessed on the receptor receptor basis and
363
00:18:49.100 --> 00:18:52.300
the full justification of various provided in the relevant
364
00:18:52.300 --> 00:18:55.400
appendices in the in chapter 10 of
365
00:18:55.400 --> 00:18:58.300
the environmental statement and we stand by those
366
00:18:58.300 --> 00:18:58.800
assessments.
367
00:19:00.300 --> 00:19:03.400
Regards regarding lack of a winter assessment
368
00:19:03.400 --> 00:19:06.300
year 15. This is not common to
00:19:06.300 --> 00:19:10.900
our approach for landscape Vision process when it wasn't requested
through scoping
370
00:19:09.900 --> 00:19:12.100
and hasn't been discussed with
371
00:19:12.100 --> 00:19:14.500
any of the relevant authorities.
372
00:19:16.700 --> 00:19:19.100
In preparing the landscape and visual impact assessment. We have
373
00:19:19.100 --> 00:19:21.700
assessed the worst case that year one of operation.
374
00:19:22.400 --> 00:19:22.700
```

```
and
375
00:19:23.600 --> 00:19:25.400
the although there are not.
376
00:19:26.200 --> 00:19:31.000
When supposed montages for every Viewpoint the assessment
377
00:19:29.500 --> 00:19:33.100
clearly provides narrative
378
00:19:32.100 --> 00:19:35.300
on what the likely effects of
379
00:19:35.300 --> 00:19:37.300
those would be at those locations.
380
00:19:38.600 --> 00:19:41.600
And regarding the two specific viewpoints
381
00:19:41.600 --> 00:19:44.300
that I mentioned Viewpoint 11. I've just
382
00:19:44.300 --> 00:19:48.200
checked. We do have a winter photo montage in year one from
383
00:19:47.200 --> 00:19:50.200
Viewpoint 11, which looks long
384
00:19:50.200 --> 00:19:50.700
back road.
385
00:19:51.400 --> 00:19:54.400
In the direction of Iceland and actually
386
00:19:54.400 --> 00:19:57.900
shows how the scheme will retain views along the road
387
00:19:57.900 --> 00:19:59.100
towards the archurch.
```

```
388
00:20:00.300 --> 00:20:03.500
Regarding the scale of the photo montage use
389
00:20:03.500 --> 00:20:06.100
I can confirm I've been on site at the
390
00:20:06.100 --> 00:20:09.700
Viewpoint 15 a and checks the
391
00:20:09.700 --> 00:20:11.800
scale of the photo montages. They are correct.
392
00:20:12.600 --> 00:20:15.200
That was undertaken with an acetate. So
393
00:20:15.200 --> 00:20:18.900
we drew the outline. It's some vegetation and the
394
00:20:18.900 --> 00:20:22.400
panels over the Frozen montage and we're
395
00:20:21.400 --> 00:20:24.300
able to quickly align the
396
00:20:24.300 --> 00:20:27.300
 scale with the existing features in
397
00:20:27.300 --> 00:20:30.400
view the photo montages obviously show.
398
00:20:31.400 --> 00:20:32.900
Alright, so if you wipe panorama.
399
00:20:33.600 --> 00:20:36.300
And that means that if you hold the
400
00:20:36.300 --> 00:20:39.300
photo montage up against the view can no longer see background.
401
00:20:39.300 --> 00:20:42.800
```

```
So the assets I enabled me to confirm that
402
00:20:42.800 --> 00:20:43.600
the scale is correct.
403
00:20:46.700 --> 00:20:47.900
Thank you very much.
404
00:20:50.600 --> 00:20:53.800
Moving on. I just had a couple of questions in
405
00:20:53.800 --> 00:20:57.200
relation to trees woodlands and hedgerows
406
00:20:56.200 --> 00:20:58.200
and
407
00:20:59.200 --> 00:21:02.500
the applicant you've now submitted an arboricultural impact
408
00:21:02.500 --> 00:21:04.300
assessment deadline three.
409
00:21:06.600 --> 00:21:09.100
And there's been a research submission by the
410
00:21:09.100 --> 00:21:10.600
forestry Commission.
411
00:21:12.700 --> 00:21:15.800
I don't I'm afraid of a reference for but I
412
00:21:15.800 --> 00:21:19.400
wanted to check that the applicant
413
00:21:18.400 --> 00:21:19.800
has.
414
00:21:20.900 --> 00:21:23.300
Picked that up and will be responding to
```

```
415
00:21:23.300 --> 00:21:23.800
416
00:21:29.500 --> 00:21:32.800
ringbridge to any of the applicant we've seen this Mission
00:21:32.800 --> 00:21:33.000
or
418
00:21:34.300 --> 00:21:38.200
this not me but someone seen it and we'll we
419
00:21:37.200 --> 00:21:40.300
will respond to it, but we haven't yet done today.
420
00:21:43.800 --> 00:21:44.300
I don't have.
421
00:21:44.400 --> 00:21:47.600
Anymore questions myself relating to trees Woodland and
422
00:21:47.600 --> 00:21:50.600
hedgerows, but I would like to ask other interested
423
00:21:50.600 --> 00:21:51.700
parties present.
424
00:21:53.700 --> 00:21:56.700
If there are any further questions or comments Mr. Mohamed
425
00:22:00.900 --> 00:22:03.600
my yes Mom to my
426
00:22:03.600 --> 00:22:06.600
right you have the Mr. Kevin
427
00:22:06.600 --> 00:22:09.800
drain who just have some comments in
428
00:22:09.800 --> 00:22:12.400
```

```
relation to the up-to-date latest information on
429
00:22:12.400 --> 00:22:15.000
the arboricultural assessments.
430
00:22:18.300 --> 00:22:20.600
Kevin drain from these comes to council
431
00:22:22.100 --> 00:22:26.200
All right, Kevin Durant from East Campus District Council having
432
00:22:25.200 --> 00:22:28.700
looked at the cement Alia there
433
00:22:28.700 --> 00:22:29.100
is still some.
434
00:22:29.800 --> 00:22:32.400
issues with it which some of them were discussed at
435
00:22:32.400 --> 00:22:32.700
the
436
00:22:33.400 --> 00:22:33.800
recent
437
00:22:35.300 --> 00:22:38.400
meeting we had with Sonica. They're still
438
00:22:38.400 --> 00:22:41.400
still some other things there one of the big ones.
439
00:22:41.400 --> 00:22:42.100
Is there a
440
00:22:42.900 --> 00:22:46.300
true preservation order that runs along Chippenham Road.
00:22:46.300 --> 00:22:49.400
It's mentioned is two trees being removed, but
```

```
442
00:22:49.400 --> 00:22:52.400
they're not actually having been assessed. They're not marked
443
00:22:52.400 --> 00:22:53.700
on the plans which two trees they are.
444
00:22:55.600 --> 00:22:59.100
Which considering it's supposed to be a document that illustrates
what's
445
00:22:58.100 --> 00:23:00.200
coming out and what staying?
446
00:23:01.100 --> 00:23:04.200
Does question what other emissions have been made?
447
00:23:07.100 --> 00:23:10.500
So the this other issues with
448
00:23:10.500 --> 00:23:13.200
the reports such as the key explaining the
449
00:23:14.200 --> 00:23:17.200
the terminology used in the report is missing.
450
00:23:18.300 --> 00:23:21.900
There's symbols on the plans that
451
00:23:21.900 --> 00:23:24.700
are not identified for
452
00:23:24.700 --> 00:23:27.100
as what they mean. There are
453
00:23:27.100 --> 00:23:28.800
blacks black circles, for example that
454
00:23:29.600 --> 00:23:32.100
solid black circles that but no key to what they
```

```
00:23:32.100 --> 00:23:32.300
are.
456
00:23:33.100 --> 00:23:36.000
areas of green on there with no description
457
00:23:37.100 --> 00:23:37.500
and the
458
00:23:38.900 --> 00:23:41.300
there is a lot of use of gray in the
459
00:23:41.300 --> 00:23:44.300
key. So there's roads.
460
00:23:45.300 --> 00:23:49.000
And Roads exclusion areas and
461
00:23:48.500 --> 00:23:51.600
show you the patterns all marks
00:23:51.600 --> 00:23:53.900
in Gray. It's very hard to determine.
00:23:55.100 --> 00:23:56.000
Which is which?
464
00:23:57.500 --> 00:24:00.600
And the report relates the
465
00:24:00.600 --> 00:24:04.300
 shading on the big issues is that the Shaving
466
00:24:03.300 --> 00:24:05.100
pattern is based on?
467
00:24:06.200 --> 00:24:07.200
today's conditions
468
00:24:08.400 --> 00:24:11.500
That doesn't seem to be much in the way of allowance for the 40
```

```
00:24:11.500 --> 00:24:14.700
Years of growth on those trees and when they
470
00:24:14.700 --> 00:24:15.900
shade out those panels.
471
00:24:16.900 --> 00:24:19.200
What will be the response will the trees be cut
472
00:24:19.200 --> 00:24:23.200
back? So they don't shave them out and will be disconnected like
information
473
00:24:22.200 --> 00:24:25.200
isn't isn't available.
00:24:27.400 --> 00:24:30.000
And I think that's that's majority of them. Thank you.
475
00:24:31.100 --> 00:24:34.800
Can I just before I move on to Mr. Gazelle code Just Between
476
00:24:34.800 --> 00:24:37.200
the local authorities and the applicant in relation
477
00:24:37.200 --> 00:24:40.500
to the Oracle in boricultural Impact
478
00:24:40.500 --> 00:24:43.800
report and those comments. Are
479
00:24:43.800 --> 00:24:46.700
you mentioned and meeting recently at
480
00:24:46.700 --> 00:24:47.700
which some of them was discussed?
481
00:24:49.100 --> 00:24:52.400
Are you continue with discussions? Will there be an amended
```

```
482
00:24:52.400 --> 00:24:55.800
version of the report or will the local authorities people putting
483
00:24:55.800 --> 00:24:58.100
their concerns in writing?
484
00:25:00.800 --> 00:25:03.200
Well, I'll hand over to Mr. Wakeful for
485
00:25:03.200 --> 00:25:05.900
the for the applicant of oraculturist.
486
00:25:08.700 --> 00:25:11.400
Good afternoon, and you Wakefield for the applicants?
487
00:25:12.100 --> 00:25:16.700
So yes in relation to the the discussions
488
00:25:16.700 --> 00:25:20.400
that we had last week with the planning authorities. We
489
00:25:19.400 --> 00:25:22.500
are looking at providing further
490
00:25:22.500 --> 00:25:26.500
 information and potentially making updates to the to the
491
00:25:26.500 --> 00:25:29.500
submitted report and plans to reflect that including things
492
00:25:29.500 --> 00:25:32.600
like making things clearer and addressing the
493
00:25:32.600 --> 00:25:33.400
comments on the key.
494
00:25:34.200 --> 00:25:36.700
And things like that. Do you have a time scale for that?
495
00:25:38.400 --> 00:25:39.600
```

```
I think I still to be confirmed.
496
00:25:42.900 --> 00:25:45.800
Not not deadline for is my clear instruction
497
00:25:45.800 --> 00:25:48.800
on anything you ask for it? And I
498
00:25:48.800 --> 00:25:51.500
 think it's I think it's probably going to be dead like five
minutes.
499
00:25:53.700 --> 00:25:57.100
It helps much what we might do mom is just record these
500
00:25:56.100 --> 00:25:59.400
issues in line with deadline
501
00:25:59.400 --> 00:26:02.300
for having said what we said so that then if
00:26:02.300 --> 00:26:05.300
it's picked up and deadline five four for completion,
503
00:26:05.300 --> 00:26:07.100
it's it's there to be followed through.
504
00:26:08.400 --> 00:26:12.500
That would be very helpful. Thank you and Mum Michael
505
00:26:12.500 --> 00:26:16.300
Bedford and Suffolk County Council. We same point.
506
00:26:16.300 --> 00:26:20.000
There are some detailed issues on the AIA. We
507
00:26:19.300 --> 00:26:22.800
certainly welcome its production and it's
508
00:26:22.800 --> 00:26:25.700
 a good step forward but there are those issues will
```

```
509
00:26:25.700 --> 00:26:28.600
hopefully highlight our comments in our
510
00:26:28.600 --> 00:26:32.100
post hearing submission and hopefully
511
00:26:31.100 --> 00:26:34.300
that can then be picked up. There are
512
00:26:34.300 --> 00:26:38.000
similar issues effectively with the revised length.
513
00:26:37.600 --> 00:26:40.200
And again, it's a question. We're moving
514
00:26:40.200 --> 00:26:42.700
in the right direction in terms of guessing detail, but we're not
515
00:26:43.900 --> 00:26:46.400
They're fully. So again, it's probably helpful. If we flag up
00:26:46.400 --> 00:26:49.500
where we like to see some further progress and hopefully will
517
00:26:49.500 --> 00:26:52.300
help the applicant as well as you in moving
518
00:26:52.300 --> 00:26:52.900
those forward.
519
00:26:54.700 --> 00:26:57.300
and I am added from West
520
00:26:57.300 --> 00:26:58.200
suffer that
521
00:26:59.800 --> 00:27:00.900
in terms of the the
```

```
00:27:02.100 --> 00:27:05.400
information vacuum that there's the
523
00:27:05.400 --> 00:27:06.600
hedge row issue as well.
524
00:27:07.600 --> 00:27:10.700
because in the in the
525
00:27:10.700 --> 00:27:13.700
lir 8.102 and table
526
00:27:13.700 --> 00:27:14.000
three
527
00:27:15.100 --> 00:27:18.700
there were some matters raise by the councils in
528
00:27:18.700 --> 00:27:20.700
relation to the hetera surveys and and
529
00:27:22.800 --> 00:27:25.200
a lack of information in terms
530
00:27:25.200 --> 00:27:27.900
of water being included
531
00:27:29.100 --> 00:27:30.500
and whether all of the relevant
532
00:27:31.200 --> 00:27:34.400
Hedgerow had been surveyed and included
533
00:27:34.400 --> 00:27:38.000
and the applicants response to that was that
534
00:27:37.500 --> 00:27:40.500
there had been there had been scoping out
535
00:27:40.500 --> 00:27:43.300
as part of the exercise and again
```

```
536
00:27:43.300 --> 00:27:46.900
in terms of the missing information at the moment an information,
537
00:27:46.900 --> 00:27:49.800
which is awaited. It's updated.
538
00:27:51.300 --> 00:27:53.400
information from the applicant
539
00:27:54.900 --> 00:27:57.600
so that it can be properly understood what was escaped
540
00:27:57.600 --> 00:27:58.300
out and why?
541
00:28:02.100 --> 00:28:03.600
Thank you very much, sir. Can that.
542
00:28:04.300 --> 00:28:06.100
Be taken on board as well, please.
00:28:08.100 --> 00:28:08.400
Thank you.
544
00:28:11.400 --> 00:28:13.300
Oh that yes, Mr. Gazelle Co.
545
00:28:17.400 --> 00:28:21.800
S Mr. Whitfield for say no Seneca, and yes, I
546
00:28:21.800 --> 00:28:25.000
too welcomed the provision of the AIA something
547
00:28:24.200 --> 00:28:28.000
that I would have expected to see with the initial submission and
548
00:28:27.600 --> 00:28:30.600
I welcome the clarification that the
```

```
00:28:30.600 --> 00:28:33.600
applicant is committed to all their
550
00:28:33.600 --> 00:28:37.700
interesting to be everything's piling up on deadline for matters,
551
00:28:36.700 --> 00:28:39.300
which I would expect to see the deadline
552
00:28:39.300 --> 00:28:42.500
one but be that as it may the other
553
00:28:42.500 --> 00:28:46.100
perhaps issue that needs to be looked at perhaps deadline
554
00:28:45.100 --> 00:28:48.200
for or at least during the course. The examination is
555
00:28:48.200 --> 00:28:51.300
they do seem to be significant discrepancies between the
00:28:51.300 --> 00:28:54.400
total tree and hydro loss that's arrived
557
00:28:54.400 --> 00:28:57.300
at as a consequence of the arborical cultural impact assessment
558
00:28:57.300 --> 00:29:01.200
and the assumptions made in the es and I
559
00:29:00.200 --> 00:29:03.300
just it's an open question really work with
560
00:29:03.300 --> 00:29:06.400
the examination as to whether it's going to be requiring the
applicant to revise
561
00:29:06.400 --> 00:29:09.300
the environmental statement. And as a corollary to
562
00:29:09.300 --> 00:29:12.900
```

```
that obviously the potentially impacts on ecological features
563
00:29:12.900 --> 00:29:15.100
 such as that in light of that
564
00:29:15.100 --> 00:29:15.600
new information.
565
00:29:17.600 --> 00:29:20.100
Yes, thank you for mentioning that I did say earlier. I
566
00:29:20.100 --> 00:29:25.100
would raise bats again in connection with the our cultural
567
00:29:24.100 --> 00:29:27.600
impact report. So turning back
568
00:29:27.600 --> 00:29:31.300
to the applicant. Could you
569
00:29:31.300 --> 00:29:34.300
respond to those points please Richardson if
570
00:29:34.300 --> 00:29:37.800
the applicant I think we've just got to see these points each
571
00:29:37.800 --> 00:29:39.500
in their proper context that the
572
00:29:41.300 --> 00:29:45.000
the arboricultural impact assessment is something that
573
00:29:44.200 --> 00:29:48.800
we've produced in light of representations received
00:29:48.800 --> 00:29:53.000
from the local authorities in particular. It's a
575
00:29:52.400 --> 00:29:55.400
process that would have taken place
```

```
576
00:29:55.400 --> 00:29:58.500
in any event and was secured through
577
00:29:58.500 --> 00:30:01.100
the management plans that we've already referred to
578
00:30:01.100 --> 00:30:04.900
today. So this is bringing forward some
579
00:30:04.900 --> 00:30:07.600
of that work but not all of that work because
580
00:30:07.600 --> 00:30:10.700
of course detail design follows. So
581
00:30:10.700 --> 00:30:13.900
detailed issues about
582
00:30:13.900 --> 00:30:16.300
trees individual trees lost
583
00:30:16.300 --> 00:30:19.400
or not lost can't necessarily be
584
00:30:19.400 --> 00:30:22.800
answered at this stage because it will depend on Final skin design.
585
00:30:22.800 --> 00:30:25.700
So it's a start
586
00:30:25.700 --> 00:30:28.700
to the process of preparing this
587
00:30:28.700 --> 00:30:31.200
detailed impact assessment, but it's only a
588
00:30:31.200 --> 00:30:35.100
start because we don't have detail of scheme as indeed. You don't
any national significant
```

```
00:30:34.100 --> 00:30:37.100
infrastructure projects I've been involved in
590
00:30:37.100 --> 00:30:40.100
so that's the word that's being done
591
00:30:40.100 --> 00:30:41.100
in terms of whether
592
00:30:41.400 --> 00:30:44.700
Correction, or revised environmental statement No,
593
00:30:44.700 --> 00:30:47.700
that's not our proposal again. I
594
00:30:47.700 --> 00:30:50.100
think it'd be unusual unless it
595
00:30:50.100 --> 00:30:54.000
was a typographical corrections to reissue the environmental
statement.
596
00:30:53.400 --> 00:30:57.100
But of course, the AIA is
597
00:30:56.100 --> 00:31:00.000
part of the examination environmental information
598
00:30:59.800 --> 00:31:02.200
and your consider it and report on
599
00:31:02.200 --> 00:31:04.900
it. So we're very happy for it to be dealt with in that way.
600
00:31:05.200 --> 00:31:05.900
and chords with the
601
00:31:06.600 --> 00:31:07.800
eia regulations
602
00:31:08.500 --> 00:31:11.300
```

```
on the specific points about hedgerows. I
603
00:31:11.300 --> 00:31:14.500
think yes will will come back to Mr. Grant and
604
00:31:14.500 --> 00:31:15.300
his clients on.
605
00:31:15.900 --> 00:31:16.600
those issues
606
00:31:18.900 --> 00:31:21.100
I think I know if you wanted me to say something about.
607
00:31:22.300 --> 00:31:23.700
Basset this stage
608
00:31:25.600 --> 00:31:28.500
I still have Mr. Wade is still Professor Wade
609
00:31:28.500 --> 00:31:32.100
is still here. But but I think that the
610
00:31:31.100 --> 00:31:33.300
short point is that
611
00:31:35.100 --> 00:31:38.200
We have attempting we suggested let's get
612
00:31:38.200 --> 00:31:42.000
there's any material change to the assessment in the es.
613
00:31:41.900 --> 00:31:44.900
If further clarification
614
00:31:44.900 --> 00:31:48.100
is needed on that point in terms of the the
615
00:31:47.100 --> 00:31:51.200
assessment of
```

```
616
00:31:51.200 --> 00:31:54.600
impacts on bats. We can provide that but the key the key
617
00:31:54.600 --> 00:31:57.300
points is of course that again these are
618
00:31:57.300 --> 00:32:00.900
impacts which are managed through the management plan with
619
00:32:00.900 --> 00:32:03.600
expressly referred to that interests in
620
00:32:03.600 --> 00:32:03.900
t.he
621
00:32:05.700 --> 00:32:07.600
both the center lamp
622
00:32:08.500 --> 00:32:12.300
Thank you, before we move on. I actually have a reference. I'll
623
00:32:11.300 --> 00:32:15.500
just give you the reference number for the forestry commission
624
00:32:15.500 --> 00:32:19.500
document. I mentioned document reference is our
625
00:32:18.500 --> 00:32:24.000
ep-3a-065 forestry
626
00:32:22.000 --> 00:32:24.200
Commission.
627
00:32:25.500 --> 00:32:28.900
Wellington Parish Council, hi, I don't
628
00:32:28.900 --> 00:32:31.100
deny. This is all very technical and generally goes over
629
00:32:31.100 --> 00:32:34.300
```

```
my head but and the bats are very I'm
630
00:32:34.300 --> 00:32:37.600
very very passionate about myself. That's bad thingam
631
00:32:37.600 --> 00:32:40.600
Lane, and I know there's been trees in
632
00:32:40.600 --> 00:32:42.100
 art for removal down there.
633
00:32:43.200 --> 00:32:46.100
And I am concerned about the feeding corridors and the
634
00:32:46.100 --> 00:32:49.700
effects. I've also read an article from
00:32:49.700 --> 00:32:52.700
Natural England who's done a solar farm
636
00:32:52.700 --> 00:32:55.500
Report on bats and the impact on
637
00:32:55.500 --> 00:32:58.100
birds and it stated that there is not
638
00:32:58.100 --> 00:33:01.400
enough evidence to actually come, you know comment about
639
00:33:01.400 --> 00:33:04.200
what the impact would be and that's as
640
00:33:04.200 --> 00:33:07.800
a general and there's also been noise assessments and
00:33:07.800 --> 00:33:10.500
that they will leave nesting sites and
642
00:33:10.500 --> 00:33:13.300
roosting sites and battlingham Lane
```

```
643
00:33:13.300 --> 00:33:17.400
does run directly through your hand proposal
644
00:33:16.400 --> 00:33:18.000
area.
645
00:33:18.800 --> 00:33:21.500
And so that's my bit. I
646
00:33:21.500 --> 00:33:24.100
will go into further when I need to I don't know when I should
647
00:33:24.100 --> 00:33:26.900
be speaking about these things and to be honest.
648
00:33:27.700 --> 00:33:30.300
And yeah, thank you. Thank you
649
00:33:30.300 --> 00:33:33.500
very much. Thank you very much for that. I would
650
00:33:33.500 --> 00:33:37.000
like to move on because I'm conscious time is is marching by
651
00:33:36.600 --> 00:33:39.300
rapidly item be
652
00:33:39.300 --> 00:33:43.000
was snail well, then which I will defer to
653
00:33:42.400 --> 00:33:45.500
to item five
654
00:33:45.500 --> 00:33:48.800
for the reasons. I explained earlier. So moving
00:33:48.800 --> 00:33:51.700
on to item C impact on
656
00:33:51.700 --> 00:33:54.400
```

```
views from the lime kills and water Hall
657
00:33:54.400 --> 00:33:58.000
gallops and impact on the landscape character of the area and
658
00:33:57.100 --> 00:34:00.000
the potential for mitigation.
659
00:34:02.700 --> 00:34:06.100
So to the applicant, please considerable concern
660
00:34:05.100 --> 00:34:08.700
has been expressed by various parties about
661
00:34:08.700 --> 00:34:11.900
the visual impact of in particular Seneca
662
00:34:11.900 --> 00:34:14.900
West End on the lion kills, which
663
00:34:14.900 --> 00:34:18.600
is a site important in landscape historical biodiversity
664
00:34:17.600 --> 00:34:20.900
and recreational terms and
665
00:34:20.900 --> 00:34:23.700
of commercial importance to the horse racing industry.
666
00:34:24.300 --> 00:34:27.700
Your environmental statement recognizes that the adverse visual
667
00:34:27.700 --> 00:34:31.100
effects would not Reduce by year 15 and
668
00:34:30.100 --> 00:34:33.800
they are assessed as being moderate adverse
00:34:33.800 --> 00:34:34.600
and significant.
```

```
670
00:34:35.600 --> 00:34:38.100
Could you please explain why you consider this to be
671
00:34:38.100 --> 00:34:38.700
acceptable?
672
00:34:40.200 --> 00:34:43.100
And Richard Ernie the applicant and I'll bring
673
00:34:43.100 --> 00:34:47.300
this really in if I need to on the detail of the assessment, but
674
00:34:46.300 --> 00:34:49.100
why is this acceptable is the way
675
00:34:49.100 --> 00:34:53.700
which you put the question? Well, those are the Assessments in
676
00:34:53.700 --> 00:34:57.300
 the environmental statement. The I
677
00:34:56.300 --> 00:34:59.300
think the first point is the value of
678
00:34:59.300 --> 00:35:02.300
the receptor. Of course, the lion kills itself is
679
00:35:02.300 --> 00:35:05.000
not directly affected by the schemes that in terms
680
00:35:05.100 --> 00:35:08.200
of landscape. There's no direct impact on
681
00:35:08.200 --> 00:35:11.100
the land on Lime Kilns There's A View From it
682
00:35:11.100 --> 00:35:15.800
which is perceived by those who
683
00:35:14.800 --> 00:35:17.300
```

```
are able to walk
684
00:35:17.300 --> 00:35:21.600
on the lime Kilns. Obviously, they're permissive roots for
685
00:35:21.600 --> 00:35:24.200
recreational use for people coming on.
686
00:35:24.200 --> 00:35:27.600
It's obviously also in Essen
687
00:35:27.600 --> 00:35:30.400
to place the place of work where people train race horses
688
00:35:30.400 --> 00:35:33.300
but in doing so, they're not
689
00:35:33.300 --> 00:35:36.200
of course there for the view they're there for
690
00:35:36.200 --> 00:35:39.900
their job and business the
691
00:35:40.200 --> 00:35:44.000
and so I think is a really important feature of
692
00:35:43.700 --> 00:35:46.900
this scheme, which is important
693
00:35:46.900 --> 00:35:49.300
to have in mind over a kilometer.
694
00:35:51.200 --> 00:35:55.400
from the main views that have been referred to between the
695
00:35:54.400 --> 00:35:58.100
scheme and the lime
696
00:35:57.100 --> 00:36:01.400
Kilns and of course what intervened which
```

```
00:36:00.400 --> 00:36:02.800
can easily be lost although
698
00:36:03.600 --> 00:36:07.700
You can see it on some of the illustrative material namely two
699
00:36:06.700 --> 00:36:09.500
of East Anglers major
700
00:36:09.500 --> 00:36:12.300
roads and a railway
701
00:36:12.300 --> 00:36:12.400
line.
702
00:36:13.100 --> 00:36:16.800
So that's what lies between the lime
703
00:36:16.800 --> 00:36:19.400
Kilns and the scheme.
704
00:36:21.300 --> 00:36:24.200
Views of the scheme. Obviously, we accept the solar panels
705
00:36:24.200 --> 00:36:27.600
would be visible in some views from the lime Kilns,
706
00:36:27.600 --> 00:36:31.600
but those views are against a
707
00:36:30.600 --> 00:36:33.800
wooded backdrop below the
708
00:36:33.800 --> 00:36:37.900
skyline and it would
709
00:36:37.900 --> 00:36:40.200
be clear what they are. But in
710
00:36:40.200 --> 00:36:44.200
```

```
in our view not an unacceptable impact on
711
00:36:43.200 --> 00:36:46.500
The View and and for
712
00:36:46.500 --> 00:36:50.100
example reference has been made to views across from
713
00:36:49.100 --> 00:36:52.300
the line kills towards Ely cathedral, but of
714
00:36:52.300 --> 00:36:55.400
course the solar panels come nowhere near interfering with
715
00:36:55.400 --> 00:36:57.500
those views.
716
00:36:58.400 --> 00:37:00.800
So essentially it's a view across an existing.
717
00:37:01.500 --> 00:37:02.900
landscape which has
718
00:37:04.200 --> 00:37:09.100
the presence of major roads and a railway line and there'll
719
00:37:07.100 --> 00:37:10.700
be a change from the current
720
00:37:10.700 --> 00:37:13.500
arable fields to replace
721
00:37:13.500 --> 00:37:16.200
that with so the panels
722
00:37:16.200 --> 00:37:18.100
for the lifetime of the scheme.
00:37:19.800 --> 00:37:20.100
and
```

```
724
00:37:21.800 --> 00:37:24.800
we in our
725
00:37:24.800 --> 00:37:28.400
assessments obviously drawn that distinction between views
726
00:37:27.400 --> 00:37:30.700
of the landscape and the landscape resource
727
00:37:30.700 --> 00:37:35.100
itself. And we note
728
00:37:34.100 --> 00:37:37.300
in particular that when one looks at
729
00:37:37.300 --> 00:37:37.400
the
730
00:37:38.300 --> 00:37:41.400
the landscape in Seneca West a
731
00:37:41.400 --> 00:37:44.200
it's part of its identified as
732
00:37:44.200 --> 00:37:44.700
part of
733
00:37:46.200 --> 00:37:48.300
The landscape character which does not in itself.
734
00:37:49.200 --> 00:37:52.200
Refer to the lime Kilns as a
735
00:37:52.200 --> 00:37:55.800
particular interest feature or a
00:37:55.800 --> 00:37:59.300
particular feature needing preservation.
737
00:38:01.800 --> 00:38:04.100
```

```
And in summary, we think
738
00:38:04.100 --> 00:38:06.000
we've done that.
739
00:38:07.300 --> 00:38:11.700
Clearly adequate assessment but most importantly
740
00:38:10.700 --> 00:38:13.700
the key characteristics of
741
00:38:13.700 --> 00:38:17.800
the lime Kilns gallops would not be fundamentally altered
742
00:38:17.800 --> 00:38:20.200
by the scheme a few over
00:38:20.200 --> 00:38:23.400
to a certifarm is not something that is
744
00:38:23.400 --> 00:38:26.600
going to interfere with the enjoyment of the lime
745
00:38:26.600 --> 00:38:30.900
Kilns for what it is, which is a place of training racehorses.
00:38:31.600 --> 00:38:34.500
And and it's in
747
00:38:34.500 --> 00:38:37.300
short. That's why we say this is this is in our view
748
00:38:37.300 --> 00:38:41.000
clearly acceptable. I think it's also worth referring then
00:38:40.100 --> 00:38:41.900
to mitigation.
750
00:38:42.900 --> 00:38:45.400
Because we have looked at
```

```
00:38:45.400 --> 00:38:49.200
some deep in some detail at mitigation on these
752
00:38:48.200 --> 00:38:51.900
views. Obviously, there's mitigation around
753
00:38:51.900 --> 00:38:54.300
the solar farm in terms of planting which
754
00:38:54.300 --> 00:38:57.200
is which is designed to assimilate the solar farm
755
00:38:57.200 --> 00:38:58.600
into the landscape.
756
00:38:59.600 --> 00:39:02.200
And we considered whether there was
757
00:39:02.200 --> 00:39:05.700
 scope for further mitigation by way of for example,
758
00:39:05.700 --> 00:39:08.300
we we considered the question of a landscape
759
00:39:08.300 --> 00:39:09.000
Bond.
760
00:39:10.100 --> 00:39:14.100
In the southern part of the scheme close to the A14 but
761
00:39:13.100 --> 00:39:16.700
we concluded that that would not provide
762
00:39:16.700 --> 00:39:19.500
any material change in
00:39:19.500 --> 00:39:20.500
the assessed effects.
764
00:39:21.300 --> 00:39:24.600
```

7.51

```
And also it introduce a substantial new feature into
765
00:39:24.600 --> 00:39:27.500
the landscape and require a
766
00:39:27.500 --> 00:39:30.600
fairly major engineering operation in essence
767
00:39:30.600 --> 00:39:34.100
 the elevated view from the lime kills means that putting further
768
00:39:33.100 --> 00:39:34.700
mitigation.
769
00:39:35.900 --> 00:39:38.200
On the application site is not going to
770
00:39:38.200 --> 00:39:41.900
maturity alter the the impact when when
771
00:39:41.900 --> 00:39:42.600
viewed from that.
772
00:39:43.600 --> 00:39:46.700
Innovative position, but whether
00:39:46.700 --> 00:39:49.500
there could be screening within the lime
774
00:39:49.500 --> 00:39:52.100
kills itself is a different question, but that land
775
00:39:52.100 --> 00:39:53.200
of course is outside the applic.
00:39:54.200 --> 00:39:54.500
ant control
00:39:55.200 --> 00:39:58.700
So I think that gives hopefully gives an overview of why we say that
this is an
```

```
00:39:58.700 --> 00:40:01.700
acceptable impact which is where you put the question. I don't
779
00:40:01.700 --> 00:40:05.300
know if there are specific points about our assessment that your
780
00:40:04.300 --> 00:40:07.200
concerned with because that's very much Mr. Really.
781
00:40:08.100 --> 00:40:11.800
I think bearing in mind the time I think that answer is helpful.
782
00:40:11.800 --> 00:40:15.000
Thank you. I would like to give the local authorities obviously
783
00:40:14.600 --> 00:40:17.400
the opportunity to respond and I
784
00:40:17.400 --> 00:40:20.400
think the lion kills is in one district and the views are
785
00:40:20.400 --> 00:40:23.300
largely in another so I don't really like to toss
786
00:40:23.300 --> 00:40:25.100
a coin in terms of who will speak first.
787
00:40:26.300 --> 00:40:30.300
I'm happy to speak first. It's all in cutting on behalf of East
Cambria.
788
00:40:29.300 --> 00:40:32.200
Can you speak into your life? I'm trying
789
00:40:32.200 --> 00:40:35.500
the better very much better. Thank you speaking on
790
00:40:35.500 --> 00:40:38.300
behalf of East Cambridge, but also for Suffolk County
```

```
00:40:38.300 --> 00:40:41.400
Council just that you
792
00:40:41.400 --> 00:40:44.400
know that particular side harks a little bit back to the site
selection process
793
00:40:44.400 --> 00:40:47.400
because Sonica was a clearly is not part
794
00:40:47.400 --> 00:40:50.600
of the non-restricted areas. And that's
795
00:40:50.600 --> 00:40:54.300
 for good reason. I would also disagree
796
00:40:53.300 --> 00:40:56.200
with how the area
797
00:40:56.200 --> 00:41:00.700
has been assessed. There's a question mark for me whether the
differentiation
798
00:40:59.700 --> 00:41:02.500
into the local
799
00:41:02.500 --> 00:41:06.100
landscape character areas as carried out by aikum actually.
800
00:41:07.200 --> 00:41:11.700
The best the best areas to draw the lines because I
801
00:41:10.700 --> 00:41:13.400
would argue that the other
802
00:41:13.400 --> 00:41:17.000
side of the Railway line and the major roads.
00:41:17.800 --> 00:41:20.300
is part of that character area and
```

```
804
00:41:21.800 --> 00:41:22.700
in the response
805
00:41:24.200 --> 00:41:27.600
of by the applicant of this sort
806
00:41:27.600 --> 00:41:28.900
of hint that I might have been.
807
00:41:30.300 --> 00:41:33.800
Double counting because I'm talking about visual links when
808
00:41:33.800 --> 00:41:36.300
I'm talking about landscape effects, but I
809
00:41:36.300 --> 00:41:39.100
yeah whilst it is. No work going on
810
00:41:39.100 --> 00:41:39.500
Within.
811
00:41:40.400 --> 00:41:44.000
The lime Kilns I would argue that the visual
812
00:41:43.100 --> 00:41:46.500
connectivity to to
813
00:41:46.500 --> 00:41:49.200
the other side is an essential and
814
00:41:49.200 --> 00:41:52.800
integral part of the character of that area. I also
815
00:41:52.800 --> 00:41:56.000
think that to say it's only a place of work which
816
00:41:55.100 --> 00:41:59.300
is something I have a problem of anyway with
817
00:41:58.300 --> 00:42:01.600
```

```
Livia that that's the those places
818
00:42:01.600 --> 00:42:04.400
don't matter. I think there is cutting the
819
00:42:04.400 --> 00:42:07.400
lime kills far short it is, you know,
820
00:42:07.400 --> 00:42:10.400
it's a major place for recreation to say
821
00:42:10.400 --> 00:42:13.100
that the solar panels don't come anywhere near the
822
00:42:13.100 --> 00:42:16.500
views to Ely Cathedral. Well, if I have a photo opportunity of
00:42:16.500 --> 00:42:19.200
a landscape working in the distance what you looking for
824
00:42:19.200 --> 00:42:22.500
federal have that with solar panels or without? I think
825
00:42:22.500 --> 00:42:23.600
I know the answer to that one.
826
00:42:24.500 --> 00:42:26.300
and so I think
827
00:42:27.300 --> 00:42:30.400
in terms of the viewpoints from from Suffolk County,
828
00:42:30.400 --> 00:42:33.400
they are intermittent because the boundary line
829
00:42:33.400 --> 00:42:36.300
is along New Market Road, and that's covered by
830
00:42:36.300 --> 00:42:39.200
a good hedge. So if you're driving through you won't get much of an
impression.
```

```
831
00:42:40.200 --> 00:42:43.700
But we want 38 illustrates the
832
00:42:43.700 --> 00:42:46.000
potential use that he can have if you are on foot.
833
00:42:46.700 --> 00:42:49.400
And Viewpoint 39 would be an East Cambridge
834
00:42:49.400 --> 00:42:52.400
Viewpoint that is outside. Just outside
835
00:42:52.400 --> 00:42:56.000
the designated Heritage
836
00:42:55.200 --> 00:42:58.700
Avenue. And from there.
837
00:42:58.700 --> 00:43:01.000
The views are quite aiming and they go
838
00:43:01.300 --> 00:43:04.800
across the Horizon. So it's not, you know, don't see a bit of solar
you
839
00:43:04.800 --> 00:43:07.100
see that from left to right and then you
840
00:43:07.100 --> 00:43:10.600
see you see a little bit of stuff up the hill and I
841
00:43:10.600 --> 00:43:13.400
think you might even be able to spot some of Sonic at East
842
00:43:13.400 --> 00:43:16.300
so, you know, it is quite substantial the
843
00:43:16.300 --> 00:43:19.300
change and you're looking instead of you having from
```

```
844
00:43:19.300 --> 00:43:22.300
sort of equine landscape onto a
845
00:43:22.300 --> 00:43:25.500
rural or cultural landscape. Never mind whether you're
846
00:43:25.500 --> 00:43:28.700
aware of the historic dimension of that landscape. It's
847
00:43:28.700 --> 00:43:31.100
a massive change to the views from
848
00:43:31.100 --> 00:43:31.400
equine.
849
00:43:32.100 --> 00:43:35.800
Landscape on the edge of a sort of new energy
850
00:43:35.800 --> 00:43:38.500
 landscape which is kind of we could classify that as light
851
00:43:38.500 --> 00:43:39.000
 Industrial.
852
00:43:39.700 --> 00:43:42.200
I think it's a it's a substantial change.
853
00:43:43.400 --> 00:43:43.600
Thank you.
854
00:43:44.400 --> 00:43:44.900
Thank you.
855
00:43:46.300 --> 00:43:49.300
We're suffer core Suffolk County Council. Would you
856
00:43:49.300 --> 00:43:50.700
like to add any further comments?
857
00:43:52.500 --> 00:43:55.500
```

```
But Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council
858
00:43:55.500 --> 00:43:58.500
obviously was cutting advises out.
859
00:43:58.500 --> 00:44:01.200
So those are our comments as well in so
860
00:44:01.200 --> 00:44:05.700
far as they relate to effects on suffer and
861
00:44:04.700 --> 00:44:07.500
you picked up on the point about the ministry
862
00:44:07.500 --> 00:44:10.800
boundary makes it particularly complicated in
863
00:44:10.800 --> 00:44:14.000
that location. The only point that I would add
864
00:44:13.900 --> 00:44:16.200
is say there is
865
00:44:16.200 --> 00:44:19.700
one issue where we certainly do agree with the applicant
866
00:44:19.700 --> 00:44:21.800
and that was a point that Mr. Turney May.
867
00:44:22.800 --> 00:44:25.600
That because of the topography and
868
00:44:25.600 --> 00:44:28.600
the elevated position of the
869
00:44:28.600 --> 00:44:31.000
views from an in the vicinity of the
870
00:44:31.700 --> 00:44:34.100
limekilns effectively. There is no
```

```
871
00:44:34.100 --> 00:44:38.100
further mitigation, which is practicable and
872
00:44:37.100 --> 00:44:40.400
so whilst clearly there's a disagreement
873
00:44:40.400 --> 00:44:44.200
between the Landscape Architects about the magnitude
874
00:44:43.200 --> 00:44:45.900
of the impacts.
875
00:44:47.900 --> 00:44:50.200
Insofar as the county council's concerns are
876
00:44:50.200 --> 00:44:53.500
correct about how those impacts should be assessed.
877
00:44:53.500 --> 00:44:56.900
Those are residual adverse impacts
878
00:44:56.900 --> 00:44:59.600
which were go with the territory because the
879
00:44:59.600 --> 00:45:02.100
 impractice it isn't going to be possible to do anything
880
00:45:02.100 --> 00:45:05.200
to further mitigate those so there's the only
881
00:45:05.200 --> 00:45:05.900
additional points.
882
00:45:07.300 --> 00:45:07.900
Thank you very much.
883
00:45:09.200 --> 00:45:12.800
Can I just move on to Mr. Kazalco, please?
884
00:45:15.200 --> 00:45:18.200
```

```
Yeah, thank you. Madam. I suspect both Mr. Hoggett and
885
00:45:18.200 --> 00:45:21.500
Mr. Jeffco wanted to come in on this and before they
886
00:45:21.500 --> 00:45:25.000
do so I just wanted to pick up a couple of quick preliminary
887
00:45:24.500 --> 00:45:27.400
matters. We also take issue with
888
00:45:27.400 --> 00:45:29.500
the suggestion that as a place of work.
889
00:45:30.200 --> 00:45:34.100
Landscape and problems maybe
00:45:33.100 --> 00:45:36.800
of lesser. Wets but in
891
00:45:36.800 --> 00:45:39.700
any event the description of this is a place of
892
00:45:39.700 --> 00:45:42.500
work where people go for their job rather than sells the
893
00:45:42.500 --> 00:45:45.200
next Rob the lion kills Mr. Steele when he's
894
00:45:45.200 --> 00:45:48.300
here tomorrow will address more when discussing the horse
895
00:45:48.300 --> 00:45:51.500
racing industry of line comes used as
896
00:45:51.500 --> 00:45:54.800
a showcase for those invest in the industry
897
00:45:54.800 --> 00:45:57.200
and so much part of the use
```

```
898
00:45:57.200 --> 00:46:01.700
of the line kills is having people go there that is
899
00:46:01.700 --> 00:46:02.200
part of it.
900
00:46:02.900 --> 00:46:06.200
And operation and so
901
00:46:05.200 --> 00:46:08.300
while people ride on it
902
00:46:08.300 --> 00:46:11.300
and I don't think the fact that people work and ride and it means
that they
903
00:46:11.300 --> 00:46:14.500
don't enjoyable landscape the use
904
00:46:14.500 --> 00:46:17.700
of a teleplays of work goes far beyond that and indeed
00:46:17.700 --> 00:46:20.700
that's recognized all the relevance about
906
00:46:20.700 --> 00:46:23.200
his recognized in the local policies that are
907
00:46:23.200 --> 00:46:26.600
in place for and for horse raiding at racing
908
00:46:26.600 --> 00:46:29.700
which are included in the local plan for East Cambridge
909
00:46:29.700 --> 00:46:31.300
District Council.
910
00:46:31.900 --> 00:46:34.700
And there was also a comments moment
```

```
00:46:34.700 --> 00:46:37.700
ago about being able to spots on a career East and the
912
00:46:37.700 --> 00:46:40.600
 zones of the theoretical visibility that being
913
00:46:40.600 --> 00:46:43.400
produced both from the original application
914
00:46:43.400 --> 00:46:48.500
and the Zona theoretical buildability equine,
915
00:46:46.500 --> 00:46:49.300
which is currently
916
00:46:49.300 --> 00:46:51.600
a rep 1-022.
917
00:46:52.300 --> 00:46:55.200
Shows that the line kills is one of the few places
918
00:46:55.200 --> 00:46:58.400
which Republican access which has
919
00:46:58.400 --> 00:47:01.900
visibility of both sides of the ski and
920
00:47:01.900 --> 00:47:04.900
so we say that this particularly valuable area
921
00:47:04.900 --> 00:47:07.500
is particularly effective by
922
00:47:07.500 --> 00:47:10.700
before extent of the scheme. And of course,
923
00:47:10.700 --> 00:47:13.800
we're associate ourselves with the point of
924
00:47:13.800 --> 00:47:16.900
being race at the difficulty mitigating this valuable
```

```
925
00:47:16.900 --> 00:47:19.600
landscape, and I'm not
926
00:47:19.600 --> 00:47:22.200
going to turn to I think Mr. Jeffco first before and going to
927
00:47:22.200 --> 00:47:23.300
Mr. Hogget,
928
00:47:24.600 --> 00:47:27.400
John Jeff say no Sonica. I think the
929
00:47:27.400 --> 00:47:30.500
council have conveyed the
930
00:47:30.500 --> 00:47:33.300
most personal point. So I won't repeat those but just
931
00:47:33.300 --> 00:47:37.500
just add to them and I would
00:47:37.500 --> 00:47:40.200
start by signposting you to
933
00:47:40.200 --> 00:47:43.900
my figures 13 to 19. Now. These
934
00:47:43.900 --> 00:47:46.400
are the figures that you requested a hard
935
00:47:46.400 --> 00:47:49.000
copy of and I just like to check whether or not
936
00:47:49.300 --> 00:47:52.200
you receive that hard or whether the Royal
937
00:47:52.200 --> 00:47:54.800
Mail failed me in getting that to you.
```

```
00:47:55.700 --> 00:47:57.800
Do you have that A3 set?
939
00:48:00.600 --> 00:48:04.500
So this is the set that starts with a panorama on figure
940
00:48:04.500 --> 00:48:07.600
13 one blank one annotated directly beneath
941
00:48:07.600 --> 00:48:08.700
and then subsequently.
942
00:48:09.700 --> 00:48:12.500
Fantastic subsequently to that are
943
00:48:12.500 --> 00:48:16.200
single frame photographs presented at
944
00:48:15.200 --> 00:48:18.000
A3 and just go
00:48:18.100 --> 00:48:22.200
back to that issue of scale of presentation rest assured
946
00:48:21.200 --> 00:48:24.400
that they are an accurate representation of the
947
00:48:24.400 --> 00:48:27.600
scale of view that you would have if you
948
00:48:27.600 --> 00:48:28.700
were there on site.
949
00:48:31.200 --> 00:48:34.200
With regards to those. I mean, I'm not going to talk through
950
00:48:34.200 --> 00:48:37.400
all the annotations that are self-evident which is you know,
951
00:48:38.200 --> 00:48:41.800
the conclusion there is that the vast majority of Sonic are
```

```
952
00:48:41.800 --> 00:48:45.500
West site is visible from this particular location. Not
953
00:48:44.500 --> 00:48:48.500
only includes the the site
954
00:48:47.500 --> 00:48:49.500
furthest to your
955
00:48:50.400 --> 00:48:53.400
West left which is w03, but all
956
00:48:53.400 --> 00:48:56.500
the way across to the east which is W 15 as well.
957
00:48:57.400 --> 00:49:00.100
But the point I'd like to make really there is that this is not
958
00:49:00.100 --> 00:49:03.300
just one Viewpoint that's affected and I think you will
959
00:49:03.300 --> 00:49:07.000
appreciate that from our joint site visit that when
960
00:49:06.300 --> 00:49:09.800
 one is walking from the water
961
00:49:09.800 --> 00:49:12.200
Hall gallops. So not just the lion kills but from water
962
00:49:12.200 --> 00:49:12.700
or gallops.
963
00:49:13.500 --> 00:49:16.000
Through Interline kills. It's an ever-present.
964
00:49:16.800 --> 00:49:19.100
Context the fields that are part of the
```

```
00:49:19.100 --> 00:49:24.000
site are part of the development area are actually the
966
00:49:22.900 --> 00:49:26.100
specific Fields vary
967
00:49:25.100 --> 00:49:28.400
but throughout your journey through those
968
00:49:28.400 --> 00:49:31.500
gallops. There is those typically at
969
00:49:31.500 --> 00:49:34.200
least one field or one part of the development that will be
970
00:49:34.200 --> 00:49:37.900
visible. So it's that idea that you're walking
971
00:49:37.900 --> 00:49:40.400
through this landscape with your riding through this landscape
00:49:40.400 --> 00:49:43.200
and you will have this constant awareness of this
973
00:49:43.200 --> 00:49:45.600
change isn't just one specific you
974
00:49:47.400 --> 00:49:50.500
I would also like to add to the comments that the Council
975
00:49:50.500 --> 00:49:53.200
made in terms of of why this matters and I
976
00:49:53.200 --> 00:49:56.500
think you know, we've touched on the point around being a
977
00:49:56.500 --> 00:49:58.800
place at work, but I think that's sort of also.
978
00:50:00.200 --> 00:50:03.200
sells it short in terms of it's public use so
```

```
979
00:50:04.100 --> 00:50:07.200
I think it was convey to around the permissive rights on
980
00:50:07.200 --> 00:50:10.400
that land and the various times of day and Times of
981
00:50:10.400 --> 00:50:12.100
year that people use it.
982
00:50:13.400 --> 00:50:17.100
But as a resource as a public resource, it's particularly important
983
00:50:16.100 --> 00:50:19.400
in this location for two reasons
984
00:50:19.400 --> 00:50:22.300
really one is scare actually
985
00:50:22.300 --> 00:50:26.400
scarcity of public rights of way in this particular area. They're
986
00:50:25.400 --> 00:50:28.800
actually they're just on that many so that
987
00:50:28.800 --> 00:50:31.900
sort of elevates it's importance in that regard. But
988
00:50:31.900 --> 00:50:34.600
also it's it's role was providing
989
00:50:34.600 --> 00:50:37.500
and elevated Vantage Point again as a
990
00:50:37.500 --> 00:50:40.400
Chalk Hill where the landform Falls away to your
991
00:50:40.400 --> 00:50:43.400
north towards the fenlands. You don't actually have
```

```
00:50:43.400 --> 00:50:46.600
many opportunities to get such an elevated view clear. That's
993
00:50:46.600 --> 00:50:49.600
why from this Viewpoint location get those fantastic long
994
00:50:49.600 --> 00:50:52.400
distance views all the way out to El
995
00:50:52.400 --> 00:50:52.900
Cathedral.
996
00:50:54.700 --> 00:50:55.200
and I think
997
00:50:56.200 --> 00:50:59.100
just rounding out in the elevation point as you know, as you
998
00:50:59.100 --> 00:51:01.900
as you've already pointed out and the fact that they
00:51:03.200 --> 00:51:06.800
The applicant acknowledges that the significant effects cannot
1000
00:51:06.800 --> 00:51:09.600
be miscated and in the long term that is
1001
00:51:09.600 --> 00:51:12.600
as we've already touched on due to that relationship of
1002
00:51:12.600 --> 00:51:15.400
topography the elevation and the overlooking but I
1003
00:51:15.400 --> 00:51:19.000
think another point that perhaps Richard may
1004
00:51:18.300 --> 00:51:21.500
talk to but I can
1005
00:51:21.500 --> 00:51:24.400
 also sign poetry which is which is the
```

```
1006
00:51:24.400 --> 00:51:27.500
role of the development area in the
1007
00:51:27.500 --> 00:51:30.300
setting of the lion kills and the importance
1008
00:51:30.300 --> 00:51:31.200
historically.
1009
00:51:31.800 --> 00:51:34.400
That's association with the wider Countryside
1010
00:51:34.400 --> 00:51:37.100
 setting something that's been celebrated particularly in the
1011
00:51:37.100 --> 00:51:40.200
paintings that you'll find in the Jockey Club and also find
1012
00:51:40.200 --> 00:51:41.800
as examples of that.
00:51:42.600 --> 00:51:42.800
figure
1014
00:51:45.900 --> 00:51:47.700
figure 12 to my
1015
00:51:48.600 --> 00:51:51.400
tomorrow. Yes, Mr. I think Mr. Jeff caught that's
1016
00:51:51.400 --> 00:51:54.500
beginning to move is more into the in combination
1017
00:51:54.500 --> 00:51:57.700
in packs and bearing in mind that we've really run
1018
00:51:57.700 --> 00:52:00.800
out of time. Just if
```

```
00:52:00.800 --> 00:52:03.500
 I may just one final point Thank you. Just taking
1020
00:52:03.500 --> 00:52:06.400
issue with the language use which is this assertion. There's
1021
00:52:06.400 --> 00:52:09.200
no direct impact. I think I think it's fair to
1022
00:52:09.200 --> 00:52:12.200
say there's no direct physical impact. There's no physical
1023
00:52:12.200 --> 00:52:15.200
change in their construction within the lion kills. But the reason
1024
00:52:15.200 --> 00:52:18.500
my view are very much a direct impact on the landscape character of
1025
00:52:18.500 --> 00:52:21.500
the lion kills. I mean one just
1026
00:52:21.500 --> 00:52:25.400
just for your own attention. It's it's within the
1027
00:52:25.400 --> 00:52:28.600
 same landscape character as the site. The lion
1028
00:52:28.600 --> 00:52:32.600
kills is within the same landscape character as Sonic
1029
00:52:32.600 --> 00:52:36.100
or west side. Hey as a national level at a
1030
00:52:35.100 --> 00:52:38.300
regional level at a district
1031
00:52:38.300 --> 00:52:41.400
 level. It's all within the same landscape and I would
1032
00:52:41.400 --> 00:52:44.300
 say that that's present on site as well. You don't see
```

```
00:52:44.300 --> 00:52:47.300
a distinction between two different landscape areas. They're very
1034
00:52:47.300 --> 00:52:48.300
much read as being part.
1035
00:52:48.500 --> 00:52:51.600
Same landscape and actually historically the lion
1036
00:52:51.600 --> 00:52:55.400
kills was part of the Chippenham estate. That's so
1037
00:52:54.400 --> 00:52:57.500
that's what I thought. I would add to that to those
1038
00:52:57.500 --> 00:52:58.500
comments. Thank you.
1039
00:53:06.700 --> 00:53:09.100
Richard hogget for say no to sonaker if
00:53:09.100 --> 00:53:12.200
I could just very very quickly pick up on the historical depth of
1041
00:53:12.200 --> 00:53:16.300
those views particularly and the landscape character as you've
1042
00:53:15.300 --> 00:53:18.400
just heard the lion killings originally part
1043
00:53:18.400 --> 00:53:21.800
of the state itself. There's that close correlation between
1044
00:53:21.800 --> 00:53:24.200
the lodge and the Avenue and the park on the
1045
00:53:24.200 --> 00:53:27.200
other side of the valley that you've seen on the site visit
1046
```

```
00:53:27.200 --> 00:53:30.400
and the time depth is off. We're not talking about a modern
1047
00:53:30.400 --> 00:53:34.000
 feature in modern landscape and gaming we're talking about features
some 200
1048
00:53:33.100 --> 00:53:37.000
years old at least deliberately created deliberately
1049
00:53:36.500 --> 00:53:39.700
managed in as a gallops and there's
1050
00:53:39.700 --> 00:53:42.700
actually very little literature out there on the historic
1051
00:53:42.700 --> 00:53:45.200
racing landscape per se and so this
1052
00:53:45.200 --> 00:53:48.700
is a key example of where an important
1053
00:53:48.700 --> 00:53:51.200
site like this feature in the landscape really is up there
1054
00:53:51.200 --> 00:53:54.800
 came with things like landscape Parts in terms of the time and
invested in
1055
00:53:54.800 --> 00:53:57.500
their creation and the longer use management. So you've
1056
00:53:57.500 --> 00:54:00.400
got that into ability. You've got that historical time that
1057
00:54:00.400 --> 00:54:03.100
there as well basically reach out to the significance of
1058
00:54:03.100 --> 00:54:06.000
the site and the views across and it's landscape setting.
1059
```

```
00:54:06.700 --> 00:54:08.400
Absolutely crucial there to its history.
1060
00:54:09.300 --> 00:54:12.100
And just very very quickly on the notes of the roads and the
1061
00:54:12.100 --> 00:54:15.900
railway. They are notably in cutting and the the
1062
00:54:15.900 --> 00:54:18.400
historical evidence suggests
1063
00:54:18.400 --> 00:54:21.600
that there's literally in cuttings under deliberately to the North
in order
1064
00:54:21.600 --> 00:54:24.600
to avoid the area of the lime Killens himself it the
1065
00:54:24.600 --> 00:54:27.100
understanding us that the roots of the face of those
1066
00:54:27.100 --> 00:54:30.300
that the trunk rate and the railway the move deliberately to the
north
1067
00:54:30.300 --> 00:54:32.000
to avoid the line counts.
1068
00:54:34.400 --> 00:54:37.300
Thank you, Mr. Turney. Did
1069
00:54:37.300 --> 00:54:40.400
you want to have any final comments relation to the line
1070
00:54:40.400 --> 00:54:44.000
kills and Visually impact that thank you and Richardson
1071
00:54:43.200 --> 00:54:45.400
for the applicant just very briefly.
1072
```

```
00:54:46.900 --> 00:54:49.200
Your question was framed in terms of acceptability of
1073
00:54:49.200 --> 00:54:52.500
impact and obviously that needs to be seen through the lens of
policy.
1074
00:54:53.200 --> 00:54:53.400
and
1075
00:54:54.400 --> 00:54:57.100
From the local Authority and from say no Seneca. You haven't
1076
00:54:57.100 --> 00:55:01.000
had a an answer that's framed by way of policy
1077
00:55:00.000 --> 00:55:02.000
and
1078
00:55:02.900 --> 00:55:05.300
en1 as it stands notes that
1079
00:55:05.300 --> 00:55:08.500
virtually all nationally significant energy infrastructure projects
1080
00:55:08.500 --> 00:55:09.900
will have effects on the landscape.
1081
00:55:10.700 --> 00:55:14.000
And the emerging draft en1 sets
1082
00:55:13.100 --> 00:55:17.000
out in terms that local
1083
00:55:16.500 --> 00:55:19.500
landscape designations will not be a
1084
00:55:19.500 --> 00:55:22.200
good reason to refuse nationally significant
1085
00:55:22.200 --> 00:55:23.900
```

```
energy projects.
1086
00:55:25.100 --> 00:55:29.000
And why is that important because although the value
1087
00:55:28.600 --> 00:55:31.800
of the lime Kilns is is built up
1088
00:55:31.800 --> 00:55:34.500
by various passes to
1089
00:55:34.500 --> 00:55:35.000
the examination.
1090
00:55:36.900 --> 00:55:39.200
We recognize and I
1091
00:55:39.200 --> 00:55:39.400
should say.
1092
00:55:41.400 --> 00:55:44.100
It's a frustration in these forums when advocates.
1093
00:55:45.400 --> 00:55:48.900
In particular take a proportion of what someone else says I said
1094
00:55:48.900 --> 00:55:52.200
it is a place of work and a recreational
1095
00:55:51.200 --> 00:55:54.600
resources a recreational resource because the
1096
00:55:54.600 --> 00:55:55.700
public are invited on.
1097
00:55:56.600 --> 00:55:57.200
but
1098
00:55:57.900 --> 00:56:01.100
When you're looking at this site and and how it
```

```
1099
00:56:00.100 --> 00:56:03.000
is identified. The lime Kilns is not
1100
00:56:03.600 --> 00:56:06.400
identified in local policy is something that needs a protection for
1101
00:56:06.400 --> 00:56:07.300
its setting.
1102
00:56:08.500 --> 00:56:10.500
There is no local landscape designation.
1103
00:56:12.100 --> 00:56:14.600
So it falls below even the threshold.
1104
00:56:16.100 --> 00:56:19.700
In emerging drafty M1 where it says you shouldn't reviews consent
1105
00:56:19.700 --> 00:56:22.600
on the basis of impacts on a locally designated landscape because
1106
00:56:22.600 --> 00:56:25.500
doing so is going to unduly constrain the
1107
00:56:25.500 --> 00:56:26.500
delivery of energy infrastructure.
1108
00:56:27.600 --> 00:56:30.900
So when we see it through the lens of the national policies, which
1109
00:56:30.900 --> 00:56:34.000
of course you must do others can
1110
00:56:33.100 --> 00:56:36.100
be forgiven for not doing so, but that's what you
1111
00:56:36.100 --> 00:56:36.600
must do.
1112
00:56:37.800 --> 00:56:40.200
```

```
It's absolutely clear that these kind
1113
00:56:40.200 --> 00:56:44.100
of impacts on non-designated landscapes.
1114
00:56:45.400 --> 00:56:47.900
on non-designated Heritage assets
1115
00:56:49.500 --> 00:56:53.500
kilometer distance with intervening roads and
1116
00:56:53.500 --> 00:56:57.100
that say no Sonic is own illustration
1117
00:56:56.100 --> 00:56:59.900
show. You can see the hgv's rolling
1118
00:56:59.900 --> 00:57:01.000
along the Trunk Road.
1119
00:57:01.500 --> 00:57:04.600
In your view from the lime Kilns to the application
1120
00:57:04.600 --> 00:57:05.200
side.
1121
00:57:06.200 --> 00:57:09.200
In all of it in all of those circumstances. This is
1122
00:57:09.200 --> 00:57:12.400
really well below the threshold when you think about refusing
scheme.
1123
00:57:12.900 --> 00:57:15.200
And that's the Judgment of acceptability of impact.
1124
00:57:16.200 --> 00:57:19.200
Then you have to consider of course mitigation and we've explained
1125
00:57:19.200 --> 00:57:22.500
and we've hopefully had confirmed by all parties that
```

```
1126
00:57:22.500 --> 00:57:25.600
they agree that we have done what we can to mitigate those impacts
1127
00:57:25.600 --> 00:57:26.400
on the line kill.
1128
00:57:27.200 --> 00:57:30.700
S so there's no you can recall that. There's no suggestion of
1129
00:57:30.700 --> 00:57:31.500
further mitigation.
1130
00:57:32.400 --> 00:57:35.600
That could reasonably be required and
1131
00:57:35.600 --> 00:57:38.500
therefore that reasonable mitigation
1132
00:57:38.500 --> 00:57:40.100
has been provided.
1133
00:57:41.400 --> 00:57:44.700
And in all those circumstances are we will
1134
00:57:44.700 --> 00:57:47.100
say that you're bound to conclude that this is
1135
00:57:47.100 --> 00:57:50.800
acceptable in the lens of national energy policy. And
1136
00:57:50.800 --> 00:57:53.200
of course the background to that is because it's
1137
00:57:53.200 --> 00:57:56.000
going to deliver huge benefits in terms of renewable energy.
1138
00:57:56.800 --> 00:57:58.100
And that's what you're wearing up.
```

```
00:57:59.400 --> 00:58:02.200
The final thing I'd say and we'll come onto itself. Say more
1140
00:58:02.200 --> 00:58:06.100
detail tomorrow is we need to be careful of the
1141
00:58:05.100 --> 00:58:08.400
mixture of points that arrays by
1142
00:58:08.400 --> 00:58:12.200
saying no to Santa Quran and some extent by the local authorities
1143
00:58:11.200 --> 00:58:14.700
the the interests of
1144
00:58:14.700 --> 00:58:15.800
the horse racing industry.
1145
00:58:16.800 --> 00:58:19.900
And actual landscape value actual
1146
00:58:19.900 --> 00:58:22.700
Heritage value because the horse
1147
00:58:22.700 --> 00:58:25.500
racing industry wait May well have economic interests in
1148
00:58:25.500 --> 00:58:29.200
certain aspects of this scheme. We can explore that tomorrow, but
1149
00:58:28.200 --> 00:58:31.500
it doesn't follow that because
1150
00:58:31.500 --> 00:58:34.400
the horse racing industry has an economic interest in an
1151
00:58:34.400 --> 00:58:35.500
aspect of this scheme.
1152
00:58:36.100 --> 00:58:37.300
```

```
the landscape involved
1153
00:58:38.400 --> 00:58:40.600
has all that it's a Heritage asset of particular value.
1154
00:58:41.200 --> 00:58:44.200
And we need to be careful not to confuse the idea of
1155
00:58:44.200 --> 00:58:47.500
value to the owners of racehorses.
1156
00:58:47.900 --> 00:58:50.100
With value in terms
1157
00:58:50.100 --> 00:58:53.500
of landscape value historic value and
1158
00:58:53.500 --> 00:58:53.700
so on.
1159
00:58:54.300 --> 00:58:57.900
But in short we say that these impacts although we record
1160
00:58:57.900 --> 00:59:00.100
them as significant to the es they are.
1161
00:59:01.200 --> 00:59:04.200
In the lens of national policy indeed in the lens of local
1162
00:59:04.200 --> 00:59:07.000
policy. They are clearly acceptable.
1163
00:59:10.700 --> 00:59:12.600
Thank you very much, Mr. Turney.
1164
00:59:13.500 --> 00:59:16.600
I'd like to close the discussion here because it
1165
00:59:16.600 --> 00:59:19.500
is now course to five. I'm conscious that we've
```

```
1166
00:59:19.500 --> 00:59:22.700
just concluded item for C on
1167
00:59:22.700 --> 00:59:25.500
the agenda. I did not have much in
1168
00:59:25.500 --> 00:59:28.100
my notes in relation to four D. So I would
1169
00:59:28.100 --> 00:59:31.000
like to deal with that in terms
1170
00:59:31.100 --> 00:59:35.600
of further written questions item for
1171
00:59:35.600 --> 00:59:38.300
E. I don't believe that we
1172
00:59:38.300 --> 00:59:41.700
have any interested parties present this
1173
00:59:41.700 --> 00:59:44.200
afternoon in relation to
1174
00:59:44.200 --> 00:59:47.300
the four Land Castles. I specify south
1175
00:59:47.300 --> 00:59:50.400
of Elms Road. Can I just check that looking
1176
00:59:50.400 --> 00:59:51.600
around the room, please?
1177
00:59:53.700 --> 00:59:56.800
No, so in that case, I'd like to defer that item.
1178
00:59:59.300 --> 01:00:02.300
Will will consider when to defer it to or whether
1179
01:00:02.300 --> 01:00:04.800
```

```
to do with that by written representations.
1180
01:00:06.200 --> 01:00:09.300
And Richardson if the applicant if it would assist with this is
1181
01:00:09.300 --> 01:00:12.300
something that we are looking at we've got we've got your point
1182
01:00:12.300 --> 01:00:15.000
 from the question on the agenda something we're looking into. I
don't know
1183
01:00:15.300 --> 01:00:18.100
if it might help it perhaps a deadline for in our written summary
will provide
1184
01:00:18.100 --> 01:00:21.500
updates as to what we're looking at. Yes, and then
1185
01:00:21.500 --> 01:00:23.800
that might inform your questions. Okay. Thank you.
1186
01:00:25.900 --> 01:00:28.600
So on that basis, I will
1187
01:00:28.600 --> 01:00:30.800
pass that domestic Keane to close this hearing.
1188
01:00:36.800 --> 01:00:40.100
No, Mr.
1189
01:00:39.100 --> 01:00:42.400
Lee used to live Mr.
1190
01:00:45.100 --> 01:00:48.300
Could I just clarify as Michael
1191
01:00:48.300 --> 01:00:51.100
Bedford Suffolk County Council? We had taking it from the way
1192
01:00:51.100 --> 01:00:53.700
```

```
that you'd explained in your opening remarks.
1193
01:00:54.200 --> 01:00:57.300
How you want to deal with the in combination impacts and
1194
01:00:57.300 --> 01:01:01.200
particularly item 5D land Parcels
1195
01:01:00.200 --> 01:01:04.100
E12 and e13 that
1196
01:01:03.100 --> 01:01:06.700
that is all including the landscape impacts.
1197
01:01:07.200 --> 01:01:10.300
That I've consider that that's all the matters to be deferred
because
1198
01:01:10.300 --> 01:01:13.100
that is an issue that we've got a lot to say about but just to be
1199
01:01:13.100 --> 01:01:16.500
clear that that's that you will pick up in another
1200
01:01:16.500 --> 01:01:19.500
form either another Forum or written question.
1201
01:01:19.500 --> 01:01:23.200
Yes, as we indicated earlier. We will
1202
01:01:23.200 --> 01:01:27.100
pick up item five in another form probably in
1203
01:01:26.100 --> 01:01:29.600
February in February hearings week.
1204
01:01:29.600 --> 01:01:32.900
So it was just in relation to the outstanding
1205
01:01:32.900 --> 01:01:37.100
items from agenda items for that
```

```
1206
01:01:35.100 --> 01:01:38.300
will deal with
1207
01:01:38.300 --> 01:01:40.100
largely by written reps.
1208
01:01:40.800 --> 01:01:42.000
Ungrateful. Thank you.
1209
01:01:43.100 --> 01:01:46.600
Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bedford. Right? And so we're
1210
01:01:46.600 --> 01:01:50.700
drawing to close of the hearing. Now. What we're
1211
01:01:50.700 --> 01:01:53.900
going to do is just going to pause for two
1212
01:01:53.900 --> 01:01:57.400
or three minutes just to confirm amongst
1213
01:01:56.400 --> 01:01:59.500
ourselves the action points and
1214
01:01:59.500 --> 01:02:02.400
then come back to to confirm those
1215
01:02:02.400 --> 01:02:05.200
and we'll do
1216
01:02:05.200 --> 01:02:05.900
that just now.
1217
01:02:09.300 --> 01:02:12.300
well
1218
01:02:49.500 --> 01:02:49.600
if
```

```
01:03:09.100 --> 01:03:13.800
here
1220
01:03:22.200 --> 01:03:27.600
you I walked yeah, I'm still pretty sure when you're
1221
01:03:27.600 --> 01:03:30.400
on Facebook. There's a photo terminal
1222
01:03:30.400 --> 01:03:33.600
point to them. Yeah, so you can actually touch the
1223
01:03:33.600 --> 01:03:33.800
screen.
1224
01:03:35.100 --> 01:03:38.200
And so it's trying to figure out how and it will track him.
1225
01:03:38.200 --> 01:03:41.400
Okay, I think he resets when
1226
01:03:41.400 --> 01:03:44.300
you kind of move. Yeah, but yeah if
1227
01:03:44.300 --> 01:03:45.400
 you've got a group of people.
1228
01:03:50.800 --> 01:03:53.600
It's a
1229
01:03:53.600 --> 01:03:57.400
cheeky upgrade when we use cameras first and physical format
1230
01:03:56.400 --> 01:03:57.700
program.
1231
01:04:01.800 --> 01:04:03.400
1232
01:04:46.400 --> 01:04:47.100
here
```

```
1233
01:06:11.500 --> 01:06:17.400
Good good.
1234
01:06:17.400 --> 01:06:20.300
Okay. Thanks very much everybody for bearing with us.
1235
01:06:20.300 --> 01:06:24.100
So what I'll do now is I'll run through the
1236
01:06:23.100 --> 01:06:26.100
action points that have been agreed.
1237
01:06:27.400 --> 01:06:30.200
Most of which not surprisingly fall to
1238
01:06:30.200 --> 01:06:33.200
the applicant and I'll stop number one
1239
01:06:33.200 --> 01:06:36.300
then the applicant in relation to
01:06:36.300 --> 01:06:39.100
item two a adequacy of
1241
01:06:39.100 --> 01:06:42.700
ecological surveys. And this was my deadline
1242
01:06:42.700 --> 01:06:45.400
five to provide details of
1243
01:06:45.400 --> 01:06:48.400
when further Baseline arable Flora survey
1244
01:06:48.400 --> 01:06:52.000
work is to be undertaken post-consent and
1245
01:06:51.600 --> 01:06:54.800
confirm where this commitment is secured.
```

```
01:06:55.700 --> 01:06:58.200
These actions points by the way will be published on the
1247
01:06:58.200 --> 01:06:58.800
website.
1248
01:06:59.900 --> 01:07:02.600
To the applicant to provide
1249
01:07:02.600 --> 01:07:05.500
by deadline for in relation
1250
01:07:05.500 --> 01:07:08.500
to item 2B impacts on Stone
1251
01:07:08.500 --> 01:07:12.400
curlews and adequacy of proposed mitigation the
1252
01:07:11.400 --> 01:07:14.900
historical data on Stone Curlew
1253
01:07:14.900 --> 01:07:18.400
populations in land parcels, e05
01:07:17.400 --> 01:07:20.800
and e05 and
1255
01:07:20.800 --> 01:07:23.000
D13 to be supplied.
1256
01:07:24.100 --> 01:07:27.500
number three item to be
1257
01:07:27.500 --> 01:07:30.600
impacts on Stone curl use and adequacy
1258
01:07:30.600 --> 01:07:33.500
of proposed mitigation and applicants to
1259
01:07:33.500 --> 01:07:36.500
respond to West Suffolk Council
```

```
1260
01:07:36.500 --> 01:07:39.500
on the T6 detail points
1261
01:07:39.500 --> 01:07:42.900
that were made in relation to Stone Curlew mitigation
1262
01:07:42.900 --> 01:07:45.500
and that's by deadline for
1263
01:07:47.100 --> 01:07:51.300
Item for in relation
1264
01:07:50.300 --> 01:07:53.300
to item to see on the agenda.
1265
01:07:53.300 --> 01:07:57.200
The applicant is to confirm proposed arable
1266
01:07:56.200 --> 01:07:59.400
Flora mitigation measures in the
1267
01:07:59.400 --> 01:08:02.900
updated early EMP that relates
1268
01:08:02.900 --> 01:08:06.500
to the four issues raised by West Suffolk Council
1269
01:08:05.500 --> 01:08:09.600
that's deadline for moving
1270
01:08:08.600 --> 01:08:12.000
on to number five relation to
1271
01:08:11.800 --> 01:08:14.400
item 2D impact on
1272
01:08:14.400 --> 01:08:16.400
chipping and StayWell.
```

```
01:08:17.100 --> 01:08:21.500
Pause fan and potential mitigation a report
1274
01:08:21.500 --> 01:08:24.600
is due from the applicants on the impact of loss of
1275
01:08:24.600 --> 01:08:27.900
mitigation at Seneca Westby on
1276
01:08:27.900 --> 01:08:31.000
on wider mitigation and that's
1277
01:08:30.100 --> 01:08:32.900
agreed at deadline five.
1278
01:08:35.300 --> 01:08:38.400
Number six in relation to item to
1279
01:08:38.400 --> 01:08:41.700
e impacts on other designated sites and difficulty
01:08:41.700 --> 01:08:44.400
of proposed mitigation applicants provide
1281
01:08:44.400 --> 01:08:47.400
an update on effects of effects on
1282
01:08:47.400 --> 01:08:50.700
SCC Wildlife sites, and that's
1283
01:08:50.700 --> 01:08:52.000
deadline five.
1284
01:08:53.000 --> 01:08:53.400
then
1285
01:08:54.600 --> 01:08:57.800
item seven Dr. Fordham
1286
01:08:57.800 --> 01:09:02.100
to provide the papers
```

```
1287
01:09:01.100 --> 01:09:05.300
into the examination post this
1288
01:09:04.300 --> 01:09:08.200
hearing by deadline 4 in relation
1289
01:09:08.200 --> 01:09:12.600
to adequacy of mitigation measures generally connectivity and
1290
01:09:12.600 --> 01:09:15.000
changes in the local microclimate.
1291
01:09:17.200 --> 01:09:19.100
number eight the
1292
01:09:20.300 --> 01:09:23.600
Suffolk County Council to identify
1293
01:09:23.600 --> 01:09:26.600
suggested mechanism for inclusion in
01:09:26.600 --> 01:09:30.400
the dco about the return of land acquired compulsorily
1295
01:09:29.400 --> 01:09:32.600
which is then subject to restrictions on
1296
01:09:32.600 --> 01:09:35.500
future use including requiring maintenance of
1297
01:09:35.500 --> 01:09:38.600
habitat. And that's by deadline for
1298
01:09:38.600 --> 01:09:39.200
please
1299
01:09:40.300 --> 01:09:41.700
then item 9
1300
```

```
01:09:42.400 --> 01:09:44.500
the applicant provided by deadline for
1301
01:09:46.100 --> 01:09:49.700
a the Chippenham Park historic map and
1302
01:09:49.700 --> 01:09:51.700
updated Heritage statement
1303
01:09:54.100 --> 01:09:54.800
number 10
1304
01:09:56.400 --> 01:09:58.400
to provide by deadline five
1305
01:09:59.600 --> 01:10:03.300
the Chippenham Park landscape assessment with
1306
01:10:02.300 --> 01:10:05.100
details of trees to be removed.
1307
01:10:07.100 --> 01:10:10.400
Item 12 relation to
1308
01:10:10.400 --> 01:10:13.900
to a gender writing three impacts on
1309
01:10:13.900 --> 01:10:15.800
conservation areas and their settings.
1310
01:10:16.600 --> 01:10:19.400
And the applicant to agree with local authorities on
1311
01:10:19.400 --> 01:10:22.600
the extent which non-designated Heritage are sets
1312
01:10:22.600 --> 01:10:25.400
have been considered don't have
1313
01:10:25.400 --> 01:10:29.200
 a deadline for that. Is that
```

```
01:10:28.200 --> 01:10:30.300
feasible a deadline for?
1315
01:10:31.700 --> 01:10:34.000
Or were we looking at deadline five? This is
1316
01:10:34.400 --> 01:10:37.700
in relation to the agreement with
1317
01:10:37.700 --> 01:10:40.900
local authorities on the extent which not designated Heritage
1318
01:10:40.900 --> 01:10:42.600
 assets of new considered.
1319
01:10:43.900 --> 01:10:44.900
So on that one.
1320
01:10:45.500 --> 01:10:46.600
I think we gave the answer twice.
01:10:47.500 --> 01:10:50.400
So we can give that answer again
1322
01:10:50.400 --> 01:10:53.200
certainly deadline for but I think we really
1323
01:10:53.200 --> 01:10:56.200
need to know and we'll take it offline. We really say whether
1324
01:10:56.200 --> 01:10:56.800
local Authority.
1325
01:10:57.400 --> 01:10:59.200
Have it take issue with that answer.
1326
01:11:01.200 --> 01:11:04.200
But we can we can give the answer again in our written
1327
```

```
01:11:04.200 --> 01:11:07.400
summary. Alright. Well, yeah, I I suspect their little
1328
01:11:07.400 --> 01:11:12.800
business if you could make some brief reference to it in the
summary second.
1329
01:11:11.800 --> 01:11:14.400
I just pause in there if I
1330
01:11:14.400 --> 01:11:17.200
may yes, I think what would be helpful is to
1331
01:11:17.200 --> 01:11:21.600
is to understand the identity of
1332
01:11:20.600 --> 01:11:23.900
the non-designated assets
1333
01:11:23.900 --> 01:11:26.700
that the applicant has indicated
1334
01:11:26.700 --> 01:11:27.700
work considered.
1335
01:11:28.300 --> 01:11:32.100
Because that's the information we don't have so if
1336
01:11:31.100 --> 01:11:34.600
this is those assets can
1337
01:11:34.600 --> 01:11:35.600
be identified.
1338
01:11:36.600 --> 01:11:37.200
then that
1339
01:11:38.300 --> 01:11:41.800
the discussions outside the hearing to progress
1340
01:11:42.700 --> 01:11:46.200
```

```
if you're telling me that they have not been identified so
1341
01:11:45.200 --> 01:11:48.100
far then that would
1342
01:11:48.100 --> 01:11:51.600
seem appropriate thing to do to confirm in writing.
1343
01:11:51.600 --> 01:11:51.900
1344
01:11:52.800 --> 01:11:54.800
Thank you. So we look forward to that.
1345
01:11:56.100 --> 01:12:00.400
Item 13, the applicant
1346
01:11:59.400 --> 01:12:02.200
subscribed and assessment of issues in
1347
01:12:02.200 --> 01:12:05.100
40 years time in relation to decommissioning.
1348
01:12:05.800 --> 01:12:08.600
That I think is deadline five.
1349
01:12:10.700 --> 01:12:12.100
and then number 14
1350
01:12:13.200 --> 01:12:15.100
And the applicant to advise?
1351
01:12:17.100 --> 01:12:21.100
The examination line the examination Library reference of
1352
01:12:20.100 --> 01:12:24.100
the desk-based assessment of Heritage assets.
1353
01:12:26.100 --> 01:12:29.100
Which I think Ms Jones referred to
```

```
1354
01:12:29.100 --> 01:12:30.400
earlier on this afternoon.
1355
01:12:33.300 --> 01:12:36.500
then the item 15
1356
01:12:38.700 --> 01:12:41.300
is in relation to a gender item for a
1357
01:12:42.400 --> 01:12:46.200
the applicant to respond to the forestry
1358
01:12:45.200 --> 01:12:48.400
commission submission, which is that
1359
01:12:48.400 --> 01:12:52.800
rep3a065 and
1360
01:12:51.800 --> 01:12:54.400
that's by deadline for
1361
01:12:54.400 --> 01:12:57.900
and then finally two other items one
1362
01:12:57.900 --> 01:13:01.700
number 16 applicants to
1363
01:13:01.700 --> 01:13:04.000
revise the AIA.
1364
01:13:04.600 --> 01:13:08.900
Reports to address criticisms and deal
1365
01:13:07.900 --> 01:13:11.100
with the need eventual need
1366
01:13:10.100 --> 01:13:14.000
to revise the environmental statement and
1367
01:13:13.100 --> 01:13:15.500
```

```
1368
01:13:17.300 --> 01:13:18.100
and finally
1369
01:13:20.300 --> 01:13:23.200
We the number 17 if the
1370
01:13:23.200 --> 01:13:26.300
applicant can update us on the
1371
01:13:26.300 --> 01:13:29.700
position regarding the land to the south of Elms
1372
01:13:29.700 --> 01:13:32.400
Road by deadline four.
1373
01:13:34.200 --> 01:13:34.500
SO
1374
01:13:37.700 --> 01:13:41.000
so may I am yes, Mr. Khazarko
1375
01:13:40.200 --> 01:13:44.900
just one clarification on point one action
1376
01:13:43.900 --> 01:13:46.400
points one we understood
1377
01:13:46.400 --> 01:13:50.000
from what was said near the start of today that
1378
01:13:49.400 --> 01:13:52.500
further surveys had also
1379
01:13:52.500 --> 01:13:56.300
already been undertaken but words available. Are
1380
01:13:55.300 --> 01:13:58.600
they going to be disclosed or we misunderstood of
```

that's by deadline five.

```
1381
01:13:58.600 --> 01:14:01.900
may have been surveys undertaken already? So
1382
01:14:01.900 --> 01:14:04.400
you're talking about Baseline arable and
1383
01:14:05.200 --> 01:14:09.200
Studies that have already been undertaken we
1384
01:14:08.200 --> 01:14:11.300
understood that there was reference
1385
01:14:11.300 --> 01:14:14.400
to some studies already been undertaken.
1386
01:14:16.600 --> 01:14:19.300
If that's the case, and we would also ask that those disclosed.
1387
01:14:20.700 --> 01:14:23.700
Right, and those are those are definitely not in the
1388
01:14:23.700 --> 01:14:25.700
contamination evidence so far.
1389
01:14:26.900 --> 01:14:27.500
Miss
1390
01:14:30.100 --> 01:14:33.700
it would assist I can get a reference. So in the
1391
01:14:33.700 --> 01:14:37.000
Plano Seneca written representations.
1392
01:14:39.800 --> 01:14:42.300
Um, we enclose as appendix 2 to
1393
01:14:42.300 --> 01:14:46.300
that Annex a note from Seneca saying
1394
01:14:45.300 --> 01:14:48.500
```

```
amongst other things further surveys
1395
01:14:48.500 --> 01:14:51.500
have been planned for 2022 sometime ago to ensure
1396
01:14:51.500 --> 01:14:54.900
the data are up to date. They they recognize
1397
01:14:54.900 --> 01:14:57.500
that we've identified divisions and they're happy to include those
1398
01:14:57.500 --> 01:15:00.100
as part of that update survey work and then they
1399
01:15:00.100 --> 01:15:03.300
say the results of these updates surveys will reported in a
01:15:03.300 --> 01:15:06.400
technical note which will be shared with all stakeholders through
submission to
1401
01:15:06.400 --> 01:15:09.600
pins during the Examination for deadline one, right
1402
01:15:09.600 --> 01:15:12.500
that's necessarily refer to survey
1403
01:15:12.500 --> 01:15:15.200
work that has already been undertaken. Yes. There's a
1404
01:15:15.200 --> 01:15:18.400
reference to 2022 additional survey work which we were expected
1405
01:15:18.400 --> 01:15:20.800
to see by the way as planned to be undertaken.
1406
01:15:21.800 --> 01:15:24.200
It was planned to be undertaken. I don't know whether it has been
1407
01:15:24.200 --> 01:15:27.700
under that's the issues and it doesn't I'm sorry
```

```
1408
01:15:27.700 --> 01:15:30.400
Richardson. We do know because we explain this
1409
01:15:30.400 --> 01:15:33.500
earlier explain it again the survey work
1410
01:15:33.500 --> 01:15:36.600
in question that it's been referred to we're going
1411
01:15:36.600 --> 01:15:40.400
to submit a deadline five for the updated by diversity. Netgame
1412
01:15:39.400 --> 01:15:41.000
calculations.
1413
01:15:41.700 --> 01:15:44.300
So that's what that's what is being referred to.
1414
01:15:44.300 --> 01:15:46.500
I think that I think that task is
01:15:47.500 --> 01:15:49.000
is captured in your
1416
01:15:49.700 --> 01:15:52.600
Yes in your list. I think perhaps it
1417
01:15:52.600 --> 01:15:54.200
may therefore help.
1418
01:15:55.900 --> 01:15:58.100
Send out to Seneca and us if
1419
01:15:58.100 --> 01:16:02.800
there are any of those planned surveys which turned
1420
01:16:01.800 --> 01:16:04.700
out to have been undertaken to
```

```
01:16:04.700 --> 01:16:07.100
date but have not come to light if they
1422
01:16:07.100 --> 01:16:10.500
could be referred. That's right that there is that's precise
1423
01:16:10.500 --> 01:16:12.200
here is that there is further.
1424
01:16:13.400 --> 01:16:13.900
survey work
1425
01:16:14.400 --> 01:16:17.300
We're going to produce a deadline five when we
1426
01:16:17.300 --> 01:16:20.700
update our biodiversity in that game could calculations. Okay, good
1427
01:16:20.700 --> 01:16:23.400
just pushing the request that released
01:16:23.400 --> 01:16:26.200
understand what the scope of that edition of survey work is because
1429
01:16:26.200 --> 01:16:29.500
we don't know whether it includes habitat additional habitat
surveys. Well,
1430
01:16:29.500 --> 01:16:33.300
it's addition. What I would suggest is that
1431
01:16:32.300 --> 01:16:36.200
you speak with the applicant outside
1432
01:16:35.200 --> 01:16:38.300
meeting and endeavored speech and agreements on
01:16:38.300 --> 01:16:38.600
on
1434
01:16:39.900 --> 01:16:43.000
```

```
it should be what should be provided. Thank you
1435
01:16:42.200 --> 01:16:45.300
for something. So I'm so sorry. Can I just raise
1436
01:16:45.300 --> 01:16:47.200
one point of classification which is
1437
01:16:48.600 --> 01:16:51.700
in the course of the discussion of this afternoon when we
1438
01:16:51.700 --> 01:16:54.300
spoke about Hedgerow that that
1439
01:16:54.300 --> 01:16:57.800
there was a reference I think to the applicant providing
clarification
1440
01:16:57.800 --> 01:17:00.400
in respect of the hedger that
1441
01:17:00.400 --> 01:17:03.200
had been scoped out so that that could be understood and
1442
01:17:03.200 --> 01:17:06.100
 I'm not it's that right. I'm not sure that featured on
1443
01:17:06.100 --> 01:17:08.700
the the list that you read out.
1444
01:17:10.800 --> 01:17:13.200
Mr. Ten here, you're happy to provide information on
1445
01:17:13.200 --> 01:17:17.700
that. I don't think we did say that certainly don't
1446
01:17:17.700 --> 01:17:21.600
call it. But if perhaps
1447
01:17:20.600 --> 01:17:23.400
if the request is for what's
```

```
1448
01:17:23.400 --> 01:17:26.900
ready for Hedgerow that's been skept us. It's the hedgerows
1449
01:17:26.900 --> 01:17:29.500
of scope out which I indicated by reference.
1450
01:17:30.400 --> 01:17:30.600
to
1451
01:17:33.200 --> 01:17:37.100
the liar 8.102 and
1452
01:17:36.100 --> 01:17:37.700
table three
1453
01:17:39.700 --> 01:17:42.400
so that we could have clarification of what had been scoped
1454
01:17:42.400 --> 01:17:45.300
out so that we could understand the extent of
01:17:45.300 --> 01:17:47.900
the information that was available in respective nature.
1456
01:17:49.700 --> 01:17:52.200
So but would seem straightforward if I
1457
01:17:52.200 --> 01:17:56.300
could be done. Thank you very much. Thank you very much, Mr. Grant.
So
1458
01:17:55.300 --> 01:17:58.600
can I if it's convenient,
1459
01:17:58.600 --> 01:18:01.500
can I pick up a couple of queries about the list that you gave?
1460
01:18:02.500 --> 01:18:04.500
First is in respective number two.
```

```
1461
01:18:06.100 --> 01:18:09.200
I need to take instructions on this but I think what we offered to
do is to
1462
01:18:09.200 --> 01:18:12.400
show the same curly data to the
1463
01:18:12.400 --> 01:18:13.300
local Authority.
1464
01:18:14.200 --> 01:18:14.900
coaches
1465
01:18:15.300 --> 01:18:18.900
it's been provided to us under license from the rspb and
1466
01:18:18.900 --> 01:18:22.000
the general approach has been we haven't disclosed the detail
1467
01:18:21.300 --> 01:18:24.600
at Stan Kearney. Nesting vacation don't examination quite
01:18:24.600 --> 01:18:27.600
well. I don't think that necessarily takes
1469
01:18:27.600 --> 01:18:30.400
away from the ability to
1470
01:18:30.400 --> 01:18:33.500
provide the redacted data to the examination.
1471
01:18:33.500 --> 01:18:36.300
And so if that could be done, we
1472
01:18:36.300 --> 01:18:39.800
look forward to that. We'll do that. I just didn't want to no. No,
1473
01:18:39.800 --> 01:18:42.300
that's good point. The next point was
```

1474

```
01:18:42.300 --> 01:18:46.200
request number five, which turns on the
1475
01:18:45.200 --> 01:18:48.100
changes that would come
1476
01:18:48.100 --> 01:18:50.100
from the loss of Seneca West Side B.
1477
01:18:51.100 --> 01:18:54.200
I've just had confirmation of the date when
1478
01:18:54.200 --> 01:18:57.200
we'd intend to make the application. I think
1479
01:18:57.200 --> 01:19:00.600
earlier referred to deadline five but the date of the application
of
1480
01:19:00.600 --> 01:19:03.300
the change which we propose is in fact the 20th of
1481
01:19:03.300 --> 01:19:06.700
 January because that allows the consideration of
1482
01:19:06.700 --> 01:19:09.500
any responses to publicity.
1483
01:19:10.600 --> 01:19:13.200
My suggestion is and I think
1484
01:19:13.200 --> 01:19:16.400
 that does require a change to the timetable because that's not
currently a deadline.
1485
01:19:16.400 --> 01:19:20.600
Yeah. Okay just do with one thing at time Mr.
1486
01:19:19.600 --> 01:19:22.300
Cerny the as far
1487
```

```
01:19:22.300 --> 01:19:26.500
as the the action points are considered a
1488
01:19:25.500 --> 01:19:28.300
real done on that one knows
1489
01:19:28.300 --> 01:19:31.300
that I took it I took that point because action point five.
1490
01:19:32.300 --> 01:19:35.200
I thought that flows from the change application, but I can come
back to
1491
01:19:35.200 --> 01:19:39.500
that. There's a couple of other points on the action points
1492
01:19:39.500 --> 01:19:42.400
by May action points, 910
1493
01:19:42.400 --> 01:19:45.600
and 11 concern further work on tripling park.
1494
01:19:45.600 --> 01:19:47.600
I think just to be clear.
1495
01:19:48.200 --> 01:19:51.500
We are going to provide deadline for the historic
1496
01:19:51.500 --> 01:19:57.400
map that we already have in our possession. Yes deadline five.
1497
01:19:55.400 --> 01:19:58.400
We're going
1498
01:19:58.400 --> 01:20:01.300
to provide the updated Heritage information
1499
01:20:01.300 --> 01:20:05.200
in terms of plotting on a map the current
1500
01:20:05.200 --> 01:20:08.400
```

```
features of interest and the landscape information
1501
01:20:08.400 --> 01:20:09.700
that you refer to.
1502
01:20:10.500 --> 01:20:14.100
I just want to be clear that deadline for what we were limiting
ourselves
1503
01:20:13.100 --> 01:20:14.800
to was the
1504
01:20:16.300 --> 01:20:16.900
direct map
1505
01:20:22.300 --> 01:20:25.300
and well, there's the updated Heritage statement. Isn't
1506
01:20:25.300 --> 01:20:28.100
that which is the I don't
1507
01:20:28.100 --> 01:20:29.800
know what the connection will be to the
1508
01:20:30.700 --> 01:20:31.400
you want to
1509
01:20:32.500 --> 01:20:37.000
Yeah, the discussion.
1510
01:20:40.400 --> 01:20:43.200
No, no, there were two issues here when they firstly
1511
01:20:43.200 --> 01:20:46.300
was as you say quite straightforward. It was it was
1512
01:20:46.300 --> 01:20:50.300
reference to a historical map of Park estate
1513
01:20:49.300 --> 01:20:55.800
 for the
```

```
1514
01:20:55.800 --> 01:20:57.100
second aspect was.
1515
01:20:58.600 --> 01:20:59.300
some sort of
1516
01:21:00.100 --> 01:21:03.500
graphical presentation all a Mac base of your
1517
01:21:03.500 --> 01:21:07.000
the accurate analysis of the
1518
01:21:06.400 --> 01:21:09.700
 state of the park now. Yes.
1519
01:21:11.200 --> 01:21:14.200
That I would be happy for that to be
1520
01:21:14.200 --> 01:21:17.300
 submitted. I don't know what information
1521
01:21:17.300 --> 01:21:19.400
you have readily available in that respect.
1522
01:21:21.100 --> 01:21:21.200
I think
1523
01:21:22.300 --> 01:21:25.300
if can I ask that deadline five rather than deadline
1524
01:21:25.300 --> 01:21:28.700
for because I think that will require a business working
combination
1525
01:21:28.700 --> 01:21:30.200
at the very least. Yes.
1526
01:21:32.300 --> 01:21:35.100
Okay, so thank deadline five for that.
```

```
1527
01:21:35.100 --> 01:21:38.200
Thank you. I didn't and terms of
1528
01:21:39.800 --> 01:21:41.100
other items
1529
01:21:42.300 --> 01:21:45.200
I'm sorry. So could I ask you to repeat item 13
1530
01:21:45.200 --> 01:21:48.400
because we didn't quite follow what that was concerned with.
1531
01:21:48.400 --> 01:21:50.900
This is reference to the 40 year.
1532
01:21:52.600 --> 01:21:56.000
Yes, I think there were some issues surrounding what
1533
01:21:55.300 --> 01:21:58.700
would happen at decommissioning stage?
1534
01:21:58.700 --> 01:22:01.000
And I think it's related to the
1535
01:22:02.800 --> 01:22:05.900
To the ability to hand back to the landowners
1536
01:22:05.900 --> 01:22:08.200
and the state of the land at that
1537
01:22:08.200 --> 01:22:11.300
particular time, but I think it's more accurately subsumed within
1538
01:22:11.300 --> 01:22:14.500
one of the other items. I think I
1539
01:22:14.500 --> 01:22:17.200
think the point might be the reference to the
1540
01:22:17.200 --> 01:22:20.300
```

```
1984 Act and the duties in respect
1541
01:22:20.300 --> 01:22:23.500
to the scheduled entry. Yes monuments. Yeah, and that
1542
01:22:23.500 --> 01:22:26.900
was a point that we said we would clarify in light
1543
01:22:26.900 --> 01:22:30.200
of the representations that were made by the
1544
01:22:29.200 --> 01:22:32.200
gentleman from historic England. His name are
1545
01:22:32.200 --> 01:22:35.200
temporary. Of course apologies. Can you just give me the name of
1546
01:22:35.200 --> 01:22:36.700
legislation again? It's the
1547
01:22:38.900 --> 01:22:41.400
The 1984 act it's got some more
1548
01:22:41.400 --> 01:22:43.500
sure to do for the time.
1549
01:22:44.700 --> 01:22:45.000
1550
01:22:49.200 --> 01:22:52.500
Provisions under which scheduled ancient monuments are designated.
1551
01:22:52.500 --> 01:22:55.400
Yeah, and you need to find a planning lawyer
1552
01:22:55.400 --> 01:22:58.700
to tell you to tell you what that is. There's lots
1553
01:22:58.700 --> 01:23:00.700
of them in the room and they're all cruelly not helping me.
```

```
1554
01:23:04.500 --> 01:23:07.400
it maybe monuments act but
1555
01:23:07.400 --> 01:23:10.200
 I suspect there's also a National Heritage act around that time
1556
01:23:10.200 --> 01:23:13.500
 and I'm ready patient monuments
1557
01:23:13.500 --> 01:23:13.800
that
1558
01:23:15.200 --> 01:23:16.200
extension tournament
1559
01:23:19.200 --> 01:23:20.900
we may have the wrong year.
1560
01:23:22.800 --> 01:23:25.300
Okay, so we'll clarify
1561
01:23:25.300 --> 01:23:28.000
for ancient monuments legislation. Thank you
1562
01:23:28.100 --> 01:23:31.300
 apologies for that. No, and and I think the only
1563
01:23:31.300 --> 01:23:34.300
other point is I wanted to raise was that
1564
01:23:34.300 --> 01:23:37.900
items 16. I did say that
1565
01:23:37.900 --> 01:23:41.000
we weren't proposing to update the environmental statement.
1566
01:23:40.200 --> 01:23:43.100
So whilst we're happy
```

1567

```
01:23:43.100 --> 01:23:45.200
to take away the clarifications to the
1568
01:23:46.700 --> 01:23:49.800
upward cultural impact assessment following the discussions with the
local authorities
1569
01:23:49.800 --> 01:23:52.800
here. I don't think we're proposing
1570
01:23:52.800 --> 01:23:53.100
1571
01:23:54.400 --> 01:23:57.500
Right to provide any update to
1572
01:23:57.500 --> 01:23:59.800
the es right? I understand.
1573
01:24:01.600 --> 01:24:04.200
Thank you for that. Yes. I've been
1574
01:24:04.200 --> 01:24:07.700
assisted it's the ancient monuments class consent
1575
01:24:07.700 --> 01:24:10.000
order rather than that, which I think
1576
01:24:10.500 --> 01:24:13.700
deals with the agricultural use of land
1577
01:24:13.700 --> 01:24:15.200
which is designated as a schedule.
1578
01:24:16.900 --> 01:24:18.700
Point was being made by historic, England.
1579
01:24:20.900 --> 01:24:23.700
was to have that use would it resume having that that class
1580
01:24:23.700 --> 01:24:26.100
```

```
consent at the end of the operational per
1581
01:24:28.700 --> 01:24:31.000
Good. Thank you. Is that what you wanted to say Mr. Fletcher?
1582
01:24:32.700 --> 01:24:32.900
Yes.
1583
01:24:33.800 --> 01:24:36.100
Is that what you want to confirm Mr. Fletcher?
1584
01:24:38.900 --> 01:24:41.300
Yes, that's correct. I'll just hoping to confirm it's a
1585
01:24:41.300 --> 01:24:44.900
1994 engine monuments class consents order as
1586
01:24:44.900 --> 01:24:47.200
opposed to the 1983 Heritage act or
1587
01:24:47.200 --> 01:24:50.400
1979 Ange monuments and arcological areas act. Those three three
1588
01:24:50.400 --> 01:24:53.500
separate three separate orders for three
1589
01:24:53.500 --> 01:24:54.100
separate act. Sorry.
1590
01:24:55.500 --> 01:24:59.600
Okay. Thank you very much for that. So if
1591
01:24:59.600 --> 01:25:02.200
nobody else has any comments on
1592
01:25:02.200 --> 01:25:07.400
the action points, we'll put those up on the website now
1593
01:25:05.400 --> 01:25:08.500
just to return
```

```
1594
01:25:08.500 --> 01:25:11.800
Then briefly to a question
1595
01:25:11.800 --> 01:25:13.600
of the intended change request.
1596
01:25:15.600 --> 01:25:19.000
I was going to raise it briefly. Anyway, just having
1597
01:25:18.200 --> 01:25:21.900
recapped on what was said
1598
01:25:21.900 --> 01:25:25.000
earlier today. I think
1599
01:25:25.200 --> 01:25:27.700
you were instructed that when I asked.
1600
01:25:28.900 --> 01:25:31.200
Which of the two dates that
1601
01:25:31.200 --> 01:25:34.600
were given in the update document it was the earlier date
1602
01:25:34.600 --> 01:25:37.600
not the later date. I think
1603
01:25:37.600 --> 01:25:39.900
the earlier date which certainly
1604
01:25:40.700 --> 01:25:43.900
Seem to assist matters. Generally
1605
01:25:43.900 --> 01:25:47.500
if it does happen was the
1606
01:25:47.500 --> 01:25:48.700
13th of January.
1607
01:25:53.100 --> 01:25:57.000
```

```
So and Richard turning for the applicant, I think as
1608
01:25:56.200 --> 01:25:59.200
I just said, I think what we would look towards.
1609
01:26:03.300 --> 01:26:04.100
In 20th.
1610
01:26:04.900 --> 01:26:07.000
Of January and the reason for that is we do want to
1611
01:26:07.200 --> 01:26:10.400
advertise the change with suggested that
1612
01:26:10.400 --> 01:26:11.800
there's a four week.
1613
01:26:12.700 --> 01:26:15.300
Period for that which would expire on the
1614
01:26:15.300 --> 01:26:18.900
12th of January and obviously
1615
01:26:18.900 --> 01:26:21.100
would want to consider anything that comes from that sep.
1616
01:26:24.100 --> 01:26:24.600
arate spons
1617
01:26:29.100 --> 01:26:32.300
a deadline any deadline five submissions has made
1618
01:26:32.300 --> 01:26:34.900
in response to what we've put in on this issue.
1619
01:26:36.500 --> 01:26:37.200
but
1620
01:26:38.200 --> 01:26:42.100
the panel of concerned about you rightly.
```

```
1621
01:26:41.100 --> 01:26:44.600
So is the disruption to
1622
01:26:44.600 --> 01:26:47.500
the timetable that they may be
1623
01:26:47.500 --> 01:26:51.100
caused if we ought
1624
01:26:51.100 --> 01:26:54.300
to consider a change in any of the certain
1625
01:26:54.300 --> 01:26:55.700
timetables again?
1626
01:26:57.100 --> 01:27:00.300
And I have that very much to the four.
1627
01:27:01.200 --> 01:27:04.000
when perhaps we might resume talking a little
1628
01:27:04.100 --> 01:27:07.800
bit about this tomorrow morning we
1629
01:27:08.900 --> 01:27:11.500
Have been given those two days 13th and
1630
01:27:11.500 --> 01:27:11.900
the 20th.
1631
01:27:14.600 --> 01:27:17.300
the the issue of
1632
01:27:18.100 --> 01:27:21.400
voluntary consultation is precisely that I think it's
1633
01:27:21.400 --> 01:27:28.400
voluntary for the applicant to undertake. It's not
1634
01:27:26.400 --> 01:27:29.300
```

```
something that
1635
01:27:29.300 --> 01:27:29.700
we would.
1636
01:27:32.200 --> 01:27:35.300
That given the given the circumstances and given what
1637
01:27:35.300 --> 01:27:38.700
the local authorities position is expressed
1638
01:27:38.700 --> 01:27:39.200
to us.
1639
01:27:42.200 --> 01:27:46.400
We'll have to consider that matter but also in the light of the
representations
1640
01:27:45.400 --> 01:27:48.400
that received from interesting parties.
1641
01:27:51.300 --> 01:27:52.100
All I would say is that.
1642
01:27:53.900 --> 01:27:55.200
the consultation
1643
01:27:56.400 --> 01:27:59.000
you you're aware of the
1644
01:27:59.600 --> 01:28:02.600
guidance as much as I am just turning
1645
01:28:02.600 --> 01:28:05.600
and the case law that goes with it that seeks
1646
01:28:05.600 --> 01:28:06.200
to unpack.
1647
01:28:07.300 --> 01:28:11.000
How it can be interpreted and applied to these
```

```
1648
01:28:10.300 --> 01:28:12.000
kinds of situations.
1649
01:28:13.200 --> 01:28:16.500
But in your update document you've you've
1650
01:28:16.500 --> 01:28:16.800
clarified.
1651
01:28:17.800 --> 01:28:20.300
Quite explicitly the reasons why you didn't
1652
01:28:20.300 --> 01:28:23.100
think that consultation was necessary.
1653
01:28:24.100 --> 01:28:25.100
1654
01:28:27.700 --> 01:28:30.400
a little bit surprised that you
1655
01:28:30.400 --> 01:28:33.400
are reverting as it
1656
01:28:33.400 --> 01:28:37.000
were to a more full-blown version of the consultation
1657
01:28:36.300 --> 01:28:38.100
and that
1658
01:28:38.800 --> 01:28:42.000
this doesn't sit particularly well
1659
01:28:41.300 --> 01:28:44.500
with as I say the idea of maintaining
1660
01:28:44.500 --> 01:28:47.400
the Integrity of the timetable as it is
1661
```

```
01:28:47.400 --> 01:28:49.000
at the moment.
1662
01:28:50.200 --> 01:28:53.300
So and Richardson for the applicant to be to be
1663
01:28:53.300 --> 01:28:55.300
completely fracked as you might anticipate.
1664
01:28:56.900 --> 01:28:59.500
We are viewed that
1665
01:28:59.500 --> 01:29:02.500
we should if we're going to do something by way of consultation. We
should
1666
01:29:02.500 --> 01:29:05.600
get on and do it was informed by
1667
01:29:05.600 --> 01:29:08.500
two things that you said yesterday. One
1668
01:29:08.500 --> 01:29:11.900
of them was you said that consideration would
1669
01:29:11.900 --> 01:29:14.100
need to be given to or was the effect
1670
01:29:14.100 --> 01:29:16.300
we need to be given to non-statric.
1671
01:29:17.500 --> 01:29:19.100
and also you indicate that you would
1672
01:29:19.700 --> 01:29:22.400
And request views on the way
1673
01:29:22.400 --> 01:29:25.100
in which the change application would be
1674
01:29:25.100 --> 01:29:28.300
```

```
examined, which we thought may lead to a conclusion
1675
01:29:28.300 --> 01:29:31.900
 from you that it would be appropriate to carry out consultation.
But.
1676
01:29:31.900 --> 01:29:34.600
if we got that in the weeks
1677
01:29:34.600 --> 01:29:38.000
to come the opportunity to consult would have passed so
1678
01:29:37.400 --> 01:29:40.900
that's what informed our view we I think
1679
01:29:40.900 --> 01:29:43.100
it's fair to say we remain in the view that's not
1680
01:29:43.100 --> 01:29:46.100
really necessary to do so in the circumstances, but I think
1681
01:29:46.100 --> 01:29:48.700
probably there's a way through this where
1682
01:29:49.500 --> 01:29:52.400
Which we can come back to tomorrow afternoon with giving
1683
01:29:52.400 --> 01:29:55.300
it some more thought. We want to make sure that no one's left
1684
01:29:55.300 --> 01:29:58.300
out of this even though we think it can be
1685
01:29:58.300 --> 01:30:01.600
dealt with the examination process, but we'll
1686
01:30:01.600 --> 01:30:04.300
 come back to tomorrow. We've got very clearly. Now
1687
01:30:04.300 --> 01:30:07.400
 your message that the that the concern that these happening
```

```
1688
01:30:07.400 --> 01:30:10.700
Authority hold is in particularly respect of
1689
01:30:10.700 --> 01:30:13.000
the time table and that if we are going to
1690
01:30:13.200 --> 01:30:14.400
think about doing something
1691
01:30:15.600 --> 01:30:18.500
By way of Engagement we should do
1692
01:30:18.500 --> 01:30:21.000
 so with the current timetable firmly in mind.
1693
01:30:21.100 --> 01:30:22.300
I think that's the stereo giving.
1694
01:30:22.900 --> 01:30:25.800
And I think we should I should go and talk to my colleagues right
1695
01:30:25.800 --> 01:30:28.000
places. That's encouraging and thank you
1696
01:30:28.100 --> 01:30:28.300
for that.
1697
01:30:29.500 --> 01:30:32.400
Let's hope we can find a way through this with the
1698
01:30:32.400 --> 01:30:35.500
minimum of disruption to the process for
1699
01:30:35.500 --> 01:30:36.600
the rest of the examination.
1700
01:30:37.400 --> 01:30:40.200
I'll leave it there and we'll come back to tomorrow morning.
1701
```

01:30:40.200 --> 01:30:43.600 Okay, so thank you very much. Again

1702

01:30:43.600 --> 01:30:46.500 everybody. It's now 5:15. Just past

1703

01:30:46.500 --> 01:30:49.300 this hearing is closed. Thank you.